ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

SIERRA CLUB, ENVIRONMENTAL LAW )
AND POLICY CENTER, PRAIRIE RIVERS )
NETWORK, and CITIZENS AGAINST ) PCB 13-15
RUINING THE ENVIRONMENT, )
Complainants, )
vs. )
MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC, )
Respondent . )

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above-entitled cause before HEARING OFFICER BRADLEY P. HALLORAN, taken by Raelene Stamm, CSR, Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed by the State of Illinois, at 160 North LaSalle Street,

Room N-505, Chicago, Illinois, on the 16th day of May, 2023, commencing at the hour of 9:00 a.m.

Reported By: Raelene Stamm, CSR
License No.: 084-004445

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury

1

## APPEARANCES:

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD<br>MS. MICHELLE GIBSON, Board Member<br>MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE, Board Member<br>MS. CHLOE SALK, Board Member<br>MS. CARLIE LEONI, Board Member<br>MS. ESSENCE BROWN, Environmental Scientist<br>MR. ANAND RAO, Chief Environmental<br>Scientist

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury

```
APPEARANCES: (Continued)
```

MS. FAITH BUGEL
1004 Mohawk Road
Wilmette, Illinois 60091
(312) 282-9119
fbugel@gmail.com
On behalf of Complainant,
Sierra Club;
MR. GREG WANNIER
MS. MEGAN WACHSPRESS
SIERRA CLUB
2101 Webster Street
Suite 1300
Oakland, California 64612
(415) 977-5646
greg.wannier@sierraclub.org
megan.wachspress@sierraclub.org
On behalf of Complainant,
Sierra Club;

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury

## APPEARANCES:

```
MR. ABEL RUSS
ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY PROJECT
Vermont Avenue, NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 296-8800
    On behalf of Complainant,
    Prairie Rivers;
MS. JENNIFER T. NIJMAN
MS. KRISTEN LAUGHRIDGE GALE
MR. ANDREW NISHIOKA
NIHMAN FRANZETTI, LLP
10 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
    On behalf of the Respondent.
```

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury


Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury


Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. We're gonna go back on the record. Good morning. My name is Bradley Halloran. I'm the hearing officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. This case is continued from yesterday. This is PCB 13-15. Today's May 16, 2023.

Would the environmental groups like to introduce themselves, please?

MS. BUGEL: Good morning. Faith Bugel representing Sierra Club.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Megan Wachspress representing Sierra Club.

MR. RUSS: Abel Russ representing Prairie Rivers Network.

MR. WANNIER: Greg Wannier representing Sierra Club.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
MS. NIJMAN: Jennifer Nijman for respondent, Midwest Generation, here with Christen Gale and Andrew Nishioka.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. I think where we left off yesterday, Ms. Nijman was crossing Mr. Quarles, the expert, and you may proceed unless there's something else.
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MR. NIJMAN: Just two housekeeping matters, I move to admit Exhibit 1106 and 1107 from yesterday. MS. BUGEL: No objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No objection. Thank you. Respondent's Exhibit Number 11, excuse me, 1107 and 1108.

MR. NIJMAN: 6 and 7, 1106 and 1107.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Respondent's Exhibit 1106 and 1107 admitted.
(WHEREUPON, Respondent's Exhibit No. 1106 and 1107 were admitted into evidence.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. You may proceed.

MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.
MARK QUARLES,
called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Quarles.
A. Good morning.
Q. Yesterday you spoke on direct about CCAs.

Do you remember that testimony?

$$
\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
$$

A. I do.
Q. What are CCAs for the record?
A. Compliance commitment agreements.
Q. Are you familiar with the compliance commitment agreements in this case you testified?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You've never developed a CCA on behalf of a client in Illinois, have you?
A. I have not.
Q. Yesterday you made comments about CCAs that they are -- and I think I have the wording, but correct me if I'm wrong -- intended to avoid contamination.

Do you recall saying that?
A. I do.
Q. And you made citations that the -- or suggestions that the Board made that statement, correct?
A. I did.
Q. I'd like to refer you to Page 82 of the Board opinion which is up on the screen. If you look at Paragraph 4, I believe you're referring to the statement at the second to last sentence in Paragraph 4, correct?

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury
A. And the CCAs at the four stations indicate right there, yes, ma'am.
Q. So go ahead and keep reading that sentence, please.
A. These actions are intended to avoid and detect any further contamination or monitor effectiveness of a corrective action rather than a remedy -- rather than remedy any contamination or remove the contamination source.
Q. Okay. Is that your reference?
A. It is.
Q. Do you believe that suggests that the Board was saying CCAs avoid contamination?
A. Avoid and detect any further contamination, yes, ma'am.
Q. Or monitor the effectiveness of a corrective action, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Yesterday on your direct exam you also discussed 2011 hydrogeologic by Patrick Engineering for the four stations.

Do you remember that?
A. I do.
Q. You're aware that 2011 was prior to the

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$
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federal CCR rules being enacted?
A. It was.
Q. And you're aware that these Patrick reports were part of the Midwest Gen's voluntary compliance with Illinois EPA's request to monitor?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you're aware that those Patrick reports were specifically focused on the ponds only, correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you're aware that Illinois EPA specifically approved the location of those monitoring wells that Patrick installed?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. You're not aware that IEPA, Illinois EPA, dictated the location of the monitoring wells in some cases?
A. I'm not.
Q. You also discussed the CCR rules, and you mentioned pond closures within 5 feet of groundwater.

Do you remember that testimony?
A. I do.
Q. That's not the entire -- and you were
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referring to the CCR regulations when you said 5 feet, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That's not the entire rule about a pond closure, correct?
A. For the location restriction of the separation between the uppermost aquifer. It also discusses the intermitent recurring separation between the seasonal high water table.
Q. Right. I'd like to put up on the screen -- hand you a copy to see it if it's easier. We've handed you a document which is an excerpt from Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code, Subchapter J, Coal Combustion Waste Surface Impoundments, Part 845.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Are these the rules you're referring to?
A. This is the Illinois CCR rule.
Q. Are you aware that Illinois has a similar -- I think we talked about that yesterday -- has similar rules to the federal rules?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. If you turn to the second page of this exhibit, Section 845.300A, do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And under Section 845.300A, this is the reference you were just making now about -- and I'm reading -- existing and new CCR surface impoundments and all lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments must be constructed with a base that is located at least 1.52 meters, 5 feet, above the upper limit of the uppermost aquifer. That's where you got your 5 feet
statement?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Well, yesterday you didn't mention the rest. You mentioned it just now. So I'm gonna keep reading. Or must demonstrate that there will not be an intermittent, recurring or sustained hydraulic connection between any portion of the base of the CCR surface impoundment and the uppermost aquifer due to normal fluctuations in groundwater elevations including seasonal high water table.
Do you see that?
A. I do.
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Q. You haven't made that assessment -- the second part of this statement about the intermittent, recurring or sustained hydraulic connection, you haven't made that assessment for any of the stations of Midwest Generation, correct?
A. Well, I have.
Q. Where would that be? It's not in any of your?
A. Powerton, for example.
Q. Where at Powerton?
A. Where I looked at the bottom elevation of the ash surge basin and the uppermost groundwater elevation that was reported for that area.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Quarles, can you keep your voice up? I know you got a frog in your throat.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. And what did you determine -- which basin?
A. The ash surge basin.
Q. And what did you determine? What -- first of all, what document were you looking at? For the record, are you referring to your
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## report?

A. Yeah, it's one.
Q. Yeah. Which report?
A. I'm looking.
Q. I know. Which report, sir?
A. I don't know that. I don't remember which report that was.
Q. Okay.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: While Mr. Quarles is looking through the report, $I$ was remiss to let the people know that we have Board Members Michelle Gibson and Jennifer Van Wie. We also have Essence Brown and Anand Rao, environmental scientist. And we have Chloe Salk and Carlie Leoni with us today, all from the Pollution Control Board. Thank you. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. If you would refer to Page 28 of your rebuttal report?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Last full paragraph on that page?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is that what you're referring to?
A. No. There was a paragraph where I gave elevations of the bottom of the pond versus the
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water table that was reported and made a statement about the degree of separation in feet between the two.
Q. If you take a look at your main first report, Exhibit 1101, Page 16?
A. Yes, ma'am. That's it.
Q. And did you review Midwest Generation's submittals on its website -- public submittals regarding placement above the uppermost aquifer location restrictions?
A. I did.
Q. Did you review those documents?
A. I did.
Q. I'd like to show you -- and would you have made the conclusion in your report that we just referenced on Page 16, it was based on your review of Midwest Gen documents?
A. That's correct.
(WHEREUPON, Respondent's Exhibit
No. 1109 was marked for
identification.)
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So I'm handing you what I've marked for identification as Exhibit 1109. The first page is
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the application for the initial operating permit for Powerton.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Dated October 29, 2021, correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the second page we've referenced as

Attachment 4-1 ASB and ABV location determination.
Do you see that title page?
A. I do.
Q. And that's Midwest Generation Bates

Number 109813. The third page of this document you'll see is Midwest Generation Bates

Number 109814 at the bottom right.
Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And this document is titled, Placement

Above the Uppermost Aquifer Location Restrictions
Ash Surge Basin, Powerton Generating Station, September 2021.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is this the document you reviewed?
A. The application for the initial operating
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\text { Page } 18
$$

permit, I think this postdates the version that was on the website for the initial location restriction determination.
Q. So the answer is, no, you've not reviewed this document?
A. Not the application for the initial operating permit.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Quarles, it might also help like we had yesterday, kind of face towards the court reporter. There we go. Thanks.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So referring you to Paragraph Number 1 captioned, Placement Location Restriction Determination, do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And in that paragraph Midwest Generation agrees that the ASB is not separated from the upper limit of the uppermost aquifer by a minimum of 5 feet.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. But then Midwest Generation in this statement, this placement statement, continues and

$$
\begin{aligned}
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says in the last sentence, this comparison demonstrated that an intermittent, recurring or sustained hydraulic connection between any portion of the base of the ASB, ash surge basin, and the uppermost aquifer due to normal fluctuations in groundwater elevations is not present.

Do you see that sentence?
A. I do.

MS. BUGEL: Can I interrupt? You didn't give a copy to counsel.

MS. GALE: Sorry about that.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So you have not reviewed this statement or this analysis, correct?
A. I've not reviewed the application for the initial operating permit, no.
Q. And that includes this statement and this analysis, right?
A. That's right.

MS. BUGEL: Objection to the characterization. Mr. Quarles said he reviewed a previous version, so the characterization of this statement and this.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
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BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. On direct yesterday you made a statement about the Waukegan FS fill area that lies to the west of the ponds, and you said, if I'm remembering correctly, that there was 22 feet of ash in that area saturated by groundwater.

Do you remember stating that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you referred to the Board's opinion, I believe?
A. Yes.
Q. If you would take a look at the Board's opinion on Page 67, if you look at the last sentence on this page, the Board states --
A. I think you need to scroll down a little bit more.
Q. There you go.
A. Okay.
Q. So the Board states the groundwater elevation at Waukegan fluctuates between 579 and 582 feet above main sea level, groundwater monitoring from wells around FSFS indicate potential ash buried around 582 feet leaving about 3 feet of overlap.
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## Do you see that?

A. I do.
Q. So your statement about 22 feet of ash in groundwater is not accurate. It's not what the Board said, correct?

MS. BUGEL: Objection, mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

MS. NIJMAN: It's a question.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think it's a question, Miss Bugel. And, you know, you can do it -- have your say on redirect. Thank you.

You may answer, sir.
THE WITNESS: Three feet of overlap -- reread the sentence above it. So they seem to be implying that 3 feet of overlap of the groundwater in the ash. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So your statement about 22 feet yesterday in saturated water is incorrect?
A. Well, it's correct from what the Board concluded in their opinion.
Q. We just -- you just said to me that it's 3 feet.
A. In that sentence it is.
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Q. When we left off yesterday we were talking about sediments, correct?
A. We were.
Q. And you had not made any assessments yourself of sediments at any of the four Midwest Generation stations, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. You are, however, generally familiar with the concept of sediment sampling?
A. I am.
Q. And how it's done?
A. I am.
Q. In fact, you've done it before yourself, haven't you?
A. I have.
Q. Now, you are aware that the sediments in the Des Plaines River -- let me back up.

You're aware that the Des Plaines River is the river that runs adjacent to the Joliet 29 station?
A. I am.
Q. And you're aware that sediment in the Des Plaines River was, in fact, sampled in 2008?
A. I'm not.
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(WHEREUPON, Respondent's Exhibit No. 1110 was marked for
identification.)
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So we're showing you what's been marked for identification as Exhibit 1110. Do you recognize this document, sir?
A. I do not.
Q. This is a document that has been incorporated into the record in this matter.

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, at this time we would like to renew our objections to the Burton testimony as articulated in briefing. This is dated testimony, unclear -- you know, its value is unclear after 15 years, and it's -- it predates the violations at issue, unclear whether it's representative currently of the sediment in the Des Plaines River.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Yeah, as you know, you're welcome to renew your objection. I ruled on that Friday. I found it okay, and any problems you've got with the antiquated nature of it you can address in your posthearing briefs or what not. Thank you. Overruled. Thanks.
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You may proceed.
MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. If you would turn to -- well, first let me point for the record that this is marked Bates Number MWG 107800 and goes through Bates Number 107917.

If you would turn to Bates Page 107806?
A. Okay.
Q. And just pointing out the captions to you and the date for the record, this is an electronic filing with the Pollution Control Board, September 8, 2008, In the Matter of Water Quality Standards and Effluent Limitations For the Chicago Area Waterway System and the Lower Des Plaines River Proposed Amendments to 35 Illinois Administrative Code Parts 301, 302, 304, Rulemaking R 08-9.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And the caption of this document, this page, is Prefiled Testimony of G. Allen Burton.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
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Q. Do you know Mr. Burton at all?
A. I do not.
Q. If you turn to Page 2 of Mr. Burton's filed testimony in this matter which is Bates Number 107807, the carryover paragraph at the top of the page states, the focus of my testimony is contained in my written report and assessment of the Illinois EPA's proposed UAA rules attached hereto as Attachment 1, which includes supporting tables, citations and appendices.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. I'm just doing this for orientation, so now we're going to go to this attachment. So tabbed in your document -- hold on. Actually, it's 820. Sorry, one more stop before we get there, Bates Number 107820?

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, complainants would object to questioning this witness about this document. He doesn't know Mr. Burton, not familiar with the document, hasn't reviewed it. There's no basis for questioning him about it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Nijman.
MS. NIJMAN: The witness specifically stated
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that one of his complaints about the analysis being done in this matter is that there were no sediment sampling in the Des Plaines River, among others.

This is evidence of sediment sampling. It's
directly rebuttal testimony, and the fact that
there is, in fact, sediment sampling is directly relevant to his position.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry. Lower Des Plaines -- overruled. You may proceed.

MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So this page, Bates 107820, Attachment 1, states that it is the -- so now we're looking at the attachment to Mr . Burton's testimony, and it is titled, Review of the Illinois EPA Water Quality Standards and Effluent Limitations For the Chicago Area Water Waste System and the Lower Des Plaines River Proposed Amendments.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And on the next page, Bates Number 807821, you'll see that this is Mr. Allen's report dated September 4, 2008.

Do you see that?
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A. I do.
Q. In his appendices he refers to Appendix C, EA Engineering Science and Technology Report on Sediment Chemistry. Do you see that reference?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Now you can go to the tab. The tab in the document is at Bates Number 107855, and that is the appendix that Mr. Burton referred to,

EA Sediment Chemistry Study, Upper Illinois
Waterway, Dresden and Lower Brandon Pools.
Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Dated September of 2008?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. If you look at Bates Page 107857, it
provides a list of figures and tables, and Figure 1 is titled, Sediment Sampling Locations in the Dresden and Lower Brandon Pools, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So I'm gonna try to get us oriented here so we know where the sediment samples were taken relative to the Joliet 29 station, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. If you would turn to the map Figure 1 in

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
this EA report, the map is at Bates Number 107872. So it's difficult to read. It's a small map. We're gonna try to blow a section up to orient you. So this document Bates Number 8, excuse me, 107872 states on the bottom, Figure 1, sediment sampling locations in the Dresden and lower Brandon pools. Do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And then to the right of that it says sediment chemistry study, upper Illinois Waterway, Dresden and lower Brandon pools?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. So I'm gonna focus you to the top right corner of this map. So do you see on this map the Des Plaines River running along from northeast to southwest?
A. I do.
Q. And all along that river you see white boxes that designate sample locations?
A. I do.
Q. And the white boxes are labeled either BR 08 or $D$, like dog, $R$ 08. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And if you look at the legend, $B R$ refers
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to Brandon reach, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. DR refers to Dresden reach?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And looking at this map in the top
northeast corner, top right corner, you see that the $B R$ samples 1 , so $B R$ 08-1, $B R$ 08-2, 3 and 4, are all the most northeasterly samples, correct?
A. They are.
Q. Sample points, okay.

And all of the DR, the Dresden reach sample points, are then below numbering from Numbers 1 through 31, DR 08, 1 through 31.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Can you determine on this map where the Joliet station is?
A. I can.
Q. Okay. Can you point that out?
A. It's across the river from DR 08-27 and DR 08-28.
Q. So those two samples are relevant to the Joliet 29 station, correct?

MS. BUGEL: Objection.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel.
MS. BUGEL: It assumes facts not in evidence, calls for a legal conclusion, vague.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I can't hear you.
MS. BUGEL: I'm sorry. Objection, calls for a legal conclusion, assumes facts not in evidence and vague.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Nijman.
MS. NIJMAN: Mr. Quarles just stated that those two samples were right next to the Joliet 29 station.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. I think he can give his legal conclusion, and I think it's proper cross. So overruled. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: They're across the river from the Joliet station.

BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. You did not look at this data, however, the results of the data; is that correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And are you suggesting that the distance, quote, across the river is meaningful to you?
A. It is.
Q. Why is that?
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A. Cause I've seen sediment samples collected along the shoreline of discharge locations associated with coal ash impoundments that have coal ash constituents along the shoreline.
Q. So you'd be concerned -- even though we have sediment sampling data, you'd be concerned that the sediment sampling data is located close up?
A. Well, it's across the river, and it's not along the shoreline.
Q. If you take a look at $D R 29$, $D R$ 08-29, do you see that one?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Isn't that located along the shoreline next to the northeast area?
A. It is downstream or upstream, whichever the way the river is running, but it's far away from the impoundments.
Q. I asked about the northeast area, sir.
A. It is at the far northeast extent of the Joliet station, yes.
Q. But near the shoreline, right? It's the other side of the river?
A. I mean, the scale of this, I can't tell
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how far off the shoreline that sample was collected.
Q. Okay. You -- I think you said this already -- have not researched whether additional sediment data is available on the Des Plaines River, correct?
A. That's right.
(WHEREUPON, Respondent's Exhibit
No. 1111 was marked for
identification.)
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So I've handed you a small binder that's been marked for identification as Exhibit 1111. For the record, this binder is of excerpts from a very large report, publicly available document, that is available here. We have several copies if everybody wants to come get the 1900-page document, but we elected to provide you with a smaller version so we don't have so many pages. But you can refer to the larger one at any time. I'll put it on your desk just in case you want to see it.

MS. NIJMAN: If you would like a large copy, we have one. Would you like one?

MS. BUGEL: Yes.
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BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So I'm turning to the first page of Exhibit 1111, the title page in your small binder. Do you have that in front of you?
A. I do.

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, right now before we go down the road with questioning about this document, we -- complainants have numerous objections to this document. One is that while this is a cross-exhibit, certain sediment sampling was made available to us prior to the hearing. This is from October 2022. Respondents knew Mr. Quarles' position on sediments prior to today, so -- or prior to yesterday. So this is -- this is really a surprise. This is not a document -- I think this was provided to us 24 hours ago or --

MS. NIJMAN: 48 .
MS. BUGEL: 48 hours ago. Due to the length of the document we didn't have time to review it, so this is a surprise and prejudicial for that reason. And in addition, with a 2,000-page document, it is impossible for the he witness to review the whole document meaningfully or even the number of excerpts that have been provided and be questioned
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about it.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. Ms. Nijman.

MS. NIJMAN: Well, this is the very nature of cross-examination. A witness will be surprised if the witness did not update his information and did not do the research that a witness -- expert witness should do. Then, yes, they will be surprised about additional data.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Why wasn't this brought out earlier? You had plenty of time. You had the burden. You threw that in there, the sediment study, pre-filed testimony. And, all of a sudden, everybody's seeing this pretty much for the first time.

MS. NIJMAN: This was a FOIA request that we made only upon -- and again this is the nature of rebuttal testimony. Ms. Sharene Shealey will testify that she was informed by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and the Illinois Army Corps that they are doing an Asian carp study in this area, that they have sediment sampling. They were interested in this property, the northeast area of Joliet, so they contacted her.
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When we learned that information just two weeks ago, we did a FOIA request. We received the FOIA response Tuesday of last week, and I have the FOIA. We were fortunate that we actually got it in time. So this is new information to us as well, but it is public information that exists about sediment sampling in the Des Plaines River, highly relevant to Mr. Quarles' opinion.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel.
MS. BUGEL: Complainants would be interested in learning how publicly available this is. It sounds like Miss Shealey found out about it simply because the testing was being -- occurring adjacent to or on the Midwest Gen property. I couldn't completely follow. But I maintain our objection that this is surprise, and $I$ also maintain our objection to asking the witness any questions about this when he hasn't had an opportunity to review it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. You know, I'm leaning towards agreeing with Ms. Bugel. You know, maybe taking it as an offer of proof because this is kind of a Johnny Come Lately document.

MS. NIJMAN: It is a public document that is being presented on cross-examination which based on
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your prior rules -- rulings you have stated and allowed public documents into the record. This is a publicly available document. You will see when we start asking questions that it is a study by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer, a official United States agency. You have allowed agency documents in earlier on the direct examination.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, when given proper notice. I mean, this has been out --

MS. NIJMAN: I was not given --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Excuse me,
Ms. Nijman.
MS. NIJMAN: Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Been out here since July 5, 2022, revised October 21. Today is May 16.

MS. NIJMAN: Cross-examination is by its very nature --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I know what cross-examination is.

MS. NIJMAN: And I understand that, but the --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We may proceed to see where you're going, but, if anything, it may be limited. And, secondly, I may just take it as an offer of proof, and the Board can decide what they
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want to do with this. You may proceed.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. You see the first title page of this document says, Phase 2 Report, Brandon Road Interbasin Project?
A. I do.
Q. And it's dated July 5, 2022, revised August 10, 2022, and revised October 31, 2022.

You see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And if you would turn to the next page, Page 1, the executive summary, just pointing out in the first sentence, the line reads the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer's Rock Island District has contracted with Kaskaskia, K-a-s-k-a-s-k-i-a, Engineering Group under a task number that $I$ don't need in the record.

The second sentence, the primary objectives for the request of services were to define overall site geology and engineering, properties of site soils and bedrock, and to perform engineering analyses relating to bedrock excavation, electrical conductivity and grounding for the proposed electrical barrier feature and
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## design recommendations for future structural

 features.Do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. NIJMAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I wanted to add one further comment that the witness specifically objected to and counsel specifically objected to the date of the 2008 sediment data being too old. So we were directly responding to an open door that we were provided by data being, quote, too old.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted for the record. You may proceed.

MS. NIJMAN: Thank you. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. If you would turn to Page 2 of the Phase 2 report, Exhibit 1111, under Section 2, introduction, it states that the Brandon Road interbasin project is located immediately downstream of the Brandon Road lock and dam.

Are you familiar with the location of the
Brandon Road lock and dam?
A. I'm not.
Q. All right. We're gonna pull up a map in a
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minute and take a look at that.
The next sentence, while we're on this page, states, the navigation lock is located near Illinois Waterway River Mile Number 286 on the Des Plaines River in the city of Joliet in Will County, Illinois. And then you will see on Page 2, Attachment A, boring location map, right?
A. Yes.
Q. We're gonna go to the map to orient where we are, Attachment $A$, boring location map. So on this page there is a very large blowup of the Des Plaines River, a close-up, and then on the right side of the page is the location of the close-up.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. So we're gonna try to focus on the right side of the page, the small map, so that we can see --
A. If you could go to the left at the bottom for the scale and blow that up. Okay.
Q. Mr. Quarles, I'm gonna hand you the map that you created for Joliet 29 from your report, your expert report, Exhibit 1101, so that you can
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have that for reference.
So looking at this Page 1 of 7 of the maps, Attachment A to Exhibit 1111, you see on the right-hand side there are a series of seven blocks?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And each block -- this one is identified in yellow is block one on this page. Each block then corresponds to the close-up map to the left, correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Do you see on this small map, Attachment $A$ of the Exhibit 1111 , do you see the road running north to south across the map?
A. I do.
Q. And across the river?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know whether that road is Brandon

## Road?

A. I don't.
Q. If you refer back to your map of the Joliet 29 station, Figure 1 of your Exhibit 1101, you see you've noted Larkin Avenue on that map running north to south?
A. Yes.
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Q. And Larkin Avenue terminates at the north side of Joliet 29 station.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If you blow out -- look further, make your BBJ map larger. If you look at the entire Figure 1 of your map, look to the far right of your map, and you see Brandon Road there?
A. Crossing the river.
Q. Correct.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. So Brandon Road is the north end of the Joliet 29 station.

Do you see that?
A. The northeast end, yes.
Q. Okay. Yeah, northeast end.

Going back then to Attachment A, the
boring location plan in Exhibit 1111, so now you see Brandon Road, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. As the north/south street running across the river, and it runs through what appears to be Block Number 58 on this map.

Do you see that?
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A. Yes.
Q. And then you can see on this map the area to the west of Brandon Road, that's the Joliet northeast area, right?
A. It is.
Q. Looking back at the close-up of the map on Attachment A, Page 1 of 7, if you look at the legend at the top of the page, the legend has in pink boring location as drilled.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then underneath that it has sediment grab samples environmental.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And those are in yellow. So we can see looking at the close-up that in Segment 1, Block 1, we have three yellow sediment samples.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And those would be BR 2021 Number 110, BR 2021-111 and BR 2021-78, 078.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
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Q. Okay. So I'm gonna turn to the next page in this Exhibit 1111, Page 2 of 7 of these maps in Attachment A, and you see the next segment of the Des Plaines River sampling locations.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Block 2, and that's also adjacent to the northeast area at Joliet 29, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And continuing -- well, let me back up. Those samples in Segment 2, the sediment grab samples are titled $B R$ 2021-106, sorry, 105, $106,107,108,109$, and we see the corner of $110 ?$
A. Yes, we do.
Q. And continuing on Pages -- Page 3, we see Block 3, and we see another series of samples, BR 2021-102, 103, 104, 105?
A. I don't see 105 on the blowup. There. Is that 105 and 106 on the left?
Q. Correct.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. And that area also lies adjacent to the Joliet northeast area, correct? The sampling?
A. It does.
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Q. And in Block 4 on the next page, Page 4 of 7, we see two grab samples, BR 2021-100 and BR 2021-101?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. Okay. And in Block 5, which we agreed is right on top of Brandon Road, we don't have any sediment samples there, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But we have soil borings on the land, on the shore. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. So if you would turn to the next -- the third tab in your binder, these are the analytical reports for the samples, correct?
A. They seem to be, yes.
Q. And these are from Environmental

Monitoring and Technologies and dated May 31 of 2022. Can you see?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is noted -- the first page is Page 1 of 106?
A. I see Page 1 of 100 .
Q. The third tab in your binder, I think you jumped ahead.
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A. Sorry.
Q. That's okay.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, I'm gonna object as we move to these attachments. Again, this report is absolutely voluminous, and without an opportunity to review it more, it's unclear how these attachments relate back to the pinpoint samples that we're seeing.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I've heard the argument. What I'm gonna do is take Mr. Quarles' testimony as an offer of proof. The Board can decide. I just -- it's a surprise, and Mr. Quarles hadn't even had a chance to review this before cross. And, you know, I may or may not be overruled. It wouldn't be the first time, but from the beginning of Mr. Quarles' testimony regarding this Phase 2 report, July 5, 2022, it's an offer of proof. And that'll be noted in the transcript.

You may proceed, Ms. Nijman. Thank you.
MS. NIJMAN: I would just add that if the Board is interested in of course determining the best remedy for these stations, that I believe it would
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be assistant -- of great assistance to the Board to have the most recent data that's available given that Mr. Quarles argued that the data that we presented was too old. It goes to nature and extent which is also what Mr. Quarles is asking us to or is opining upon.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
MS. BUGEL: Objection. Your Honor, may I respond to Ms. Nijman's argument?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, Ms. Bugel.
MS. BUGEL: One final point, if the concern is making this available to the Board, that can be done through Midwest Gen's expert, not from Mr. Quarles.

MS. NIJMAN: If we have agreement -- again, this is rebuttal. This is caused by the order in which we're doing witnesses. So if we have agreement that we can talk to Weaver about these documents in the record as evidence, then we will wrap this up with Mr. Quarles.

MS. BUGEL: I'm not sure $I$ would agree to that. I think my initial objection is using it through Mr. Quarles. I'm gonna reserve the right to object to Weaver because the surprise element is still
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there.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. When we get
to Weaver, you can make your objection. My ruling
is this is an offer of proof when Mr. Quarles
started testifying regarding this Phase 2 report.
Thank you.
MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. If you would turn to Page 3 of 106 ?
A. Okay.
Q. That provides you with the sample summary of what's in this data package, correct?
A. It does.
Q. And it lists sample identifications Numbers 100 through 106, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So if we were to go through this entire data package, we would see the sample results for the sediment for $B R$ 2021-100 through 106?
A. We would.
Q. If you look at the fourth tab in your binder, we're just gonna identify what's here. That is also an analytical data package, correct?
A. Yes, it is.
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Q. And it's by Environmental Monitoring and Technologies, Inc., dated May 23, 2022?
A. Yes.
Q. And the bottom of the page says Page 1 of 100, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And again turning to Page 3 of this data package, we see the sample ID Numbers that are identified in this data package, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that includes sample ID 100, 107, 109 and 108, excuse me, 110, 107, 109, and 108?
A. Yes.
Q. So going again through this data package we would expect to see the analytical results for those sample points, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. So if you would turn back to the -- we'll put it on the screen -- the maps, 2, Map 2 of 7 of this Exhibit 1111, you have that in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. So I'd like to refer you to sample

BR 2021-109. Do you see that?
A. I do.
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Q. And that is adjacent to the shoreline of Joliet 29 northeast area, correct?
A. According to the scale it's about 35 feet off of the shoreline.
Q. If you go to the fourth tab in your binder again, we will look at the data for sample well BR 2021-109, and that's Page 19 of 100?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And if we look at the top paragraph of this page, it provides the analysis for metals, correct?
A. It does.
Q. And looking at arsenic which I believe you stated was related to CCR; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So we have a reporting limit of 1.31. What's a reporting limit, sir?
A. It's the reporting limit of the analysis, and anything less than that would be reported as a less than.
Q. And we have here for arsenic a less than, correct?
A. We do.
Q. Then we have cadmium. Do you see the
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reporting limit of 0.131 , and a cadmium result of $0.624 ?$
A. I do.
Q. So cadmium was detected?
A. It was.
Q. Are you familiar with the term threshold effects concentration?
A. I'm not.
Q. Probable effects concentration?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. You're not aware that those are standards by which sediments are compared in your experience?

MS. BUGEL: Objection. You've asked him if he's aware. He answered.

THE WITNESS: I'm not.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he's able. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. What standard have you used to compare sediment results?
A. I've used EPA. They have fresh water sediment standards that are numeric values for metals.
Q. Okay. I'm gonna have to refer you back to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
& \quad 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
$$
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the 2008 Burton testimony that's been incorporated into the record identified as Exhibit 1110, and the EA chemistry study that we were looking at that was an attachment to the Burton testimony Exhibit 1110 which is Bates Number 107855?
A. Okay.
Q. And if we go to Page 9 of this study which is Bates Page 107866?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In the section under 3.2, comparison to sediment benchmarks, the third sentence in this paragraph provides one of the biological effects approaches that have been used to assess sediment quality relative to potential for adverse effects on benthic organisms in fresh water ecosystems is the threshold effects concentration, TEC, slash probable effects concentration approach.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether you know of these effects or these standards?
A. It does not.
Q. If you would refer still in this same EA
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report, Exhibit 1110, if you refer to Bates
Page 107875 and 107876?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. That is Table 7A, concentrations of target analytes in sediments from the Dresden pool of May 2008.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And you see there that the PEC and TEC limits or comparison standards are listed for metals?
A. I do.
Q. Do you have any opinion as to whether those PEC or TEC standards are similar to the standards you've applied in the past?
A. I don't.
Q. Turning back to your Tab 4 in your binder, the analytical results in Exhibit 1111 which was on Page 19 of $100 ?$
A. Okay.
Q. We were just discussing a cadmium result of 0.624 in this sediment.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
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Q. And if you look at the screen for the TEC and PEC standards, standard for cadmium, the lowest standard available is 0.99?
A. Yes.
Q. So the cadmium found in this sample 2021-109 is less than the TEC, correct?
A. It is.
Q. For chromium, which you've stated is a metal you would look for for CCR, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So chromium we have a reporting limit of 1.31 and a result of 6.52 .

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And the chromium threshold level is 43.

Do you see that? 43.4?
A. I do.
Q. Let's look at lead. That's you've stated potentially related to CCR in your report, I believe?
A. It can be.
Q. We have a reporting limit of 1.31 , a result of 23.0 , and the TEC standard -- which is coming right back, there it is -- for lead is 35.8?
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A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. NIJMAN: So, Mr. Hearing Officer, as an offer of proof I can go through each one of these which I'm certainly happy to do in order to ensure that the Board has access to this data.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. It's your prerogative, Ms. Nijman, under an offer proof. Whatever you think is justified, it's your call.

MS. NIJMAN: The only other alternative is to again allow Weaver to testify as to it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm not gonna allow that. You know, that'll be an offer of proof as well. It's your prerogative as of now.

MS. NIJMAN: Well, we will be here for a while. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sounds good. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. All right. Let's go back to -- turning to Page 20 of 100 of Exhibit 1111, you see mercury?
A. I do.
Q. And mercury there has a reporting limit of 0.100. I think the point is missing, but there's clearly a space there. And the result is less than the reporting limit?
A. It is.
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Q. Okay. Let's look back at --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let me know when a good time for Midwest to take a break.

MS. NIJMAN: Anytime is fine.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is now a good time?
All right. Let's take a 10, 15 minute break.
We're off the record. Thank you.
(WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the record. We're in the middle of cross.

BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. Mr. Quarles, would you take a look at Tab 3 in your binder which is the data package we've identified for Exhibit 1111, Pages 1 of 106.

Are you there?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. If you can take a look at Page 5 of 106?
A. Okay.
Q. We're gonna do the same thing we did with the data for BR 2021-109 and go through some of the metals as compared to the TEC standard, okay?

So arsenic in $B R$ 2021-100 is -- the result
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for arsenic is less than 1.32 which is the reporting limit, correct?
A. It is.
Q. And we've already established that the TEC for arsenic is 9.79, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Cadmium again we have a reporting limit of 0.132. We have a cadmium result of less than 0.132 for cadmium, and we have a TEC level of 0.99 as comparison, right?
A. Yes.
Q. For chromium we have a result of 13.5. And let me just clarify for all of these, these are milligrams per kilogram, correct? If you look just further to the right, it says milligrams per kilogram?
A. Yes.
Q. All of these results are milligrams per kilogram. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. So we have chromium here at 13.5 milligrams per kilogram, and we have a TEC threshold level of 43.4.

Do you see that?
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A. I do.
Q. And for -- we can go through lead. Do you believe -- you sort of hesitated when I asked you if lead was relevant to look at. Is it relevant as to CCR to review lead?
A. I've seen lead as CCR sites. It's less common than other metals, but $I$ have seen it before.
Q. Okay. Is it -- would it be relevant to your opinion in sediments to look at lead?
A. It's appropriate to look at the result.
Q. Okay. So if we look at lead, we have 111 here as milligrams per kilogram.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And we see for lead our TEC standard is 35.8, but the PEC is 128. So it still lies below the PEC standard, correct?
A. It's above the TEC, but below the PEC.
Q. Right. And then turning the page to Page 6 of 106 for sample $B R 2021-100$ we see mercury, and we have no mercury, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Turning to Page 12 of this same
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data package, do you see that these are the analytical results for sample point $B R$ 2021-101?
A. Yes.
Q. Looking again at arsenic, we see arsenic as not found, correct?
A. Less than 1.32.
Q. So less than the reporting limit?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that essentially equivalent to a non-detect sir?
A. It is a non-detect.
Q. Okay. Cadmium we have a non-detect, right?
A. We do.
Q. Chromium we have a result of
3.04 milligrams per kilogram, and we have the comparison TEC level, the lowest comparison level of 43. So we're well below, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And then we have lead at 3.51 milligrams per kilogram, and we have a lead TEC standard of 35.8, well below the TEC standard, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if we turn the page to mercury, we
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have a non-detect for mercury, correct?
A. Let me see where -- what page?
Q. $\quad 13$ of 106.
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Okay. If you would turn to Page 19 of this data package in Exhibit 1111?
A. Did you say Page 19?
Q. Page 19.
A. Yeah.
Q. Those are the analytical results for BR 2021-102. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Once again arsenic we have a non-detect,
right?
A. Yes.
Q. Cadmium we have a non-detect?
A. Yes.
Q. Chromium we're at 44.1 milligrams per kilogram as the result as compared to a chromium TEC standard of 43.4, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So it's below the lowest comparison standard?
A. No. It's above.
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Q. I'm sorry, slightly above the lowest comparison standard. And the PEC for chromium is 111. So it's well below the PEC is what I meant to say, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And for lead we have 154 milligrams per kilogram, and we have a lead standard of between 35.8 and a PEC of 128.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And on the next page, Page 20 of this data package for $B R$ 2021-102 sample point, you see mercury again is non-detect, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. If you would turn to Page 25 of 106 of this data package in Exhibit 1111, this is the data for sample point $B R$ 2021-103, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And we see arsenic again non-detect?
A. Yes.
Q. We see cadmium non-detect?
A. Yes.
Q. We have chromium at 4.78. You see the
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decimal point is missing there, but it's 4.78?
MS. BUGEL: Objection, speculation.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry,
objection?
MS. BUGEL: Speculation. Ms. Nijman is
speculating.
MS. NIJMAN: I'll reask.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. Do you see 47 -- 4 space 78 for chromium?
A. I do.
Q. And would you agree that that should be 4.78 based on the space that's there?

MS. BUGEL: Same objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he's able.

THE WITNESS: It probably is a point.
MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. And the chromium standard again for the TEC lower level is the 43, and we have 4.78. For lead in sample $B R$ 2021-103 we have 21.3 ?
A. Yes.
Q. With a lower threshold level comparison of
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35.8?
A. Yes.
Q. And then turning the page for sample 103, we again have a non-detect for mercury, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. Turning to Page 32 of this data package, this is the analytical data for sample point for sediment BR 2021-104?
A. Yes.
Q. And looking at the results we have a non-detect for arsenic?
A. Yes.
Q. For cadmium we have a detection of 0.171.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And cadmium threshold level comparison point is 0.99. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So below the threshold level?
A. Yes.
Q. Chromium again we have a 3 space 00 which we would agree is a 3.00. You can look at copper two points down. You'll see how there's also a space there and clearly a decimal. Would you agree
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that the 3 space 00 is a 3.00 ?
MS. BUGEL: Same objection as to speculating as to whether there should be decimals.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. The Board will take note of that in their offer of proof. If he can answer, I think he did.

BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. For the other example you said that's probably what happened?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

For lead for sample 104 we have
5 milligrams per kilogram as compared to a threshold level of 35.8 .

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And then turning the page to mercury, Page 33 of 106, again we have a non-detect for mercury?
A. That's correct.
Q. Turn to Page 39 of 40 in this data package, this is a continuation of the data package in Exhibit 1111, and we see that's the analytical data for sample sediment point $B R$ 2021-105.

```
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. I do.
Q. And we have arsenic as a non-detect?
A. We do.
Q. We have cadmium at 0.210 and compared to a threshold of 0.99 , correct?
A. Correct.
Q. We have chromium at 6.13 compared to a threshold of 43.4, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And for lead we have a finding of 13.6 milligrams per kilogram with a comparison threshold level of 35.8 .

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. So well below the threshold level again.

Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

And then turning the page to mercury we have a non-detect for mercury again?
A. We do.
Q. Turning to Page 46 of this data package, these are the analytical results for sediment
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sample ID BR 2021-106, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, we have arsenic as non-detect?
A. Yes.
Q. We have cadmium, a finding of
0.216 milligrams per kilogram as compared to a threshold level of 0.99, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. We have chromium at 5.86 milligrams per kilogram compared to a chromium threshold level of 43.4, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And looking at lead we have a lead level of 17.9 milligrams per kilogram as compared to a threshold level of 35.8.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then on the next page, Page 47 of 106 , you see a non-detect for mercury?
A. I do.
Q. Turning to the next tab in your binder, we've already identified this document as being the data package for \(B R\) sample points 110, 107, 109 and 108, correct?
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A. Yes, and also some 3 to 5 feet at two other locations.
Q. Correct. So in this data package which is dated May 23, 2022, Pages 1 through 100, if you would turn to Page 12 of 100 , okay. Looking at sediment sample results for \(B R\) 2021-107, we have a non-detect for arsenic, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. For cadmium we have a 0.306 milligrams per kilogram compared to a threshold level of 0.99 , so below the threshold, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. We have a chromium finding in this
sediment sample 107 of 5.48 milligrams per
kilogram, and that's as compared to a TEC threshold standard of 43.4, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And for lead in sample 107 we have 14.8 milligrams per kilogram, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. As compared to a threshold level of 35.8?
A. Yes.
Q. Turning to Page 13 of 100 , you see mercury is again non-detect?
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A. I do.
Q. If you would go to Page 26 of 100 , you see there the sample point, excuse me, the analytical results for sediment sample point \(B R\) 2021-108?
A. Yes.
Q. You see once again arsenic is a non-detect, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Cadmium, 0.277 milligrams per kilogram, again below the threshold level, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Chromium we have a finding or result of 5.29 milligrams per kilogram which is below the TEC standard of chromium 43.4, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And for lead we have a result of 13.4 milligrams per kilogram as compared to a threshold comparison of 35.8 , correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you turn the page to Page 27 of 100 in this data package, we have mercury as a non-detect, right?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. If you would turn to -- oddly
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
& \quad 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
\]

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
enough they're a bit out of order -- Page 5 of 100 in this data package, you see that this presents -this page presents the sample analytical results for sediment sample BR 2021-110.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Arsenic again we have a non-detect?
A. Yes.
Q. Cadmium we have a non-detect?
A. Yes.
Q. Chromium we have a 2.98 result milligrams per kilogram as compared to a -- sorry, this is chromium -- as compared to a TEC of 43.4, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. For lead we have 2.27 milligrams per kilogram as compared to a lead TEC of 35.8, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And turning the page to mercury, we have a non-detect for mercury, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. As an expert preparing analyses, you would want to have review of all available data, would you not?
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A. Yes.
Q. And you would want to see recent data, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to turn your attention --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Are we out of the offer of proof now?

MS. NIJMAN: One more aspect of it.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Sorry. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So one of the things you -- one of the topics you testified about a little bit earlier today was distance from the shoreline, correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. So referring you back to what we've marked as Exhibit 1111 that's in front of you, this Phase 2 report dated July 5 of 2022, if you look again at the first attachment -- the first tab in your binder which is the boring location maps we looked at earlier, I'd like you to look back at boring map 5 of 7 . And when we spoke about this boring map a little earlier today, we noted that there were pink boring locations noted along the shoreline here.
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Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And we determined that this area -- can you see on this map running from north to south is a -- is Brandon Road? It's this grayish line.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And these pink well locations which are numbered -- I can't see the numbers -- BR 2021-89 through 93?
A. Can you scroll down and blow up the scale on the far left? Okay.
Q. So I'd like to refer you back for a second to your Figure 1 in your BBJ group exhibit, the map that we -- I gave you a separate copy of it, if that's easier. It's Figure 1 in your Exhibit 1101.

So you see that your red dotted line on
your Figure 1 you state reflects the subject
property for Joliet 29?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to show you --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Are we out of the offer of proof? Are we done with the Phase 2? I'm sorry to keep interrupting. I just want to make a
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clean record.
MS. NIJMAN: We are, but I'm gonna go back to it. I have to explain where I am. I have to orient him.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. So we're out of the offer of proof then.

MS. NIJMAN: For the next few questions, yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Just let me know. Thank you.

BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. So I'm going to show you what was previously marked in the first part of this first phase of this hearing as Exhibit 601, and we have the -- I'm providing you with a segment of it. It's a very large survey map. We have the original survey map here if anyone would like to see it. So referring you to the top right corner of that survey map which is the smaller piece of paper I gave you, might be easier to look at?
A. Okay.
Q. So if you look at this top right corner, the northeast corner of the Joliet 29 station that was provided in the survey, Exhibit 601, do you see Brandon Road to the far right of this map?
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A. I do.
Q. Okay. And we've established that the northeast area lies to the west of Brandon Road, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So you see the Joliet station and the northeast area on this survey, correct?
A. I do.
Q. And do you see the words sale tract?
A. I do.
Q. And that would be part of the northeast area at the Joliet 29 station, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And below that you see a dark black line that designates the property boundary?
A. I do.
Q. And do you see that there's a strip of land after the dark black line for the property land -- property boundary that is not part of the property, the Joliet 29 property, as owned or operated by Midwest Generation?
A. Yeah. I see a line with three dots. I don't -- see if there's a scale that -- yeah, the edge of water, okay.
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Q. So just referring to what you just did, Mr. Quarles, you referred to the legend to conclude that the line and the three dots that lies just below the dark boundary line is the edge of the water, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So I'd like to refer you to the words, if you can read them, that exist below that dark black line. Can you read exception to Parcel 11?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that say?
A. Exception to Parcel 11 land conveyed to the State of Illinois per doc -- looks like a 414955.
Q. So based on that statement, that strip of land was conveyed to the State of Illinois?
A. Yes.
Q. So looking back at your figure for Joliet 29, Figure 1 in Exhibit 1101, your dotted red line fails to reflect this strip of land that is not owned by Midwest Generation, correct?
A. I wouldn't say that at all. I would say that the scale of this figure and the placement of the red line is accurate from the source that we
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used.
Q. And what source was that?
A. In the notes from the Will County JIS station dated 2020.
Q. You believe the Will County JIS division provided that red line?
A. No.
Q. No. You have the red line going basically right to the water, right? And, Mr. Quarles, for the record \(I\) will note that this is the same in other maps. You are not the only one to have done this. So I understand how this happened, but we want to clear up the record here, okay?
A. Fine.
Q. So again I just want to confirm that in your Figure 1, this red line does not reflect what we're seeing in the actual survey map, correct?

MS. BUGEL: Objection, asked and answered.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: She's just
clarifying. He may answer if he's able.
Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, the red line, we would have gotten that from the local parcel viewer, and it was overlaid onto an aerial
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photograph. But a land survey that shows that set aside that was offered to the State of Illinois, \(I\) don't have any reason to doubt that.

MS. NIJMAN: Okay. Going back to the offer of proof Mr. Hearing Officer.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. We're back in the offer of proof. Thank you. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. If you could look at the second tab in your binder, so this is the Exhibit 1111, Attachment B to the study, Boring Logs and Well Diagrams, Brandon Road Interbasin Project.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. So we discussed earlier that there were five boring locations that were taken on the Joliet 29 northeast area property, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And those were \(\operatorname{BR} 2021-89,90,91,92\) and 93. So I'm showing you here the boring logs that were provided in this report for \(B R\) 2021-89 on the northeast Joliet northeast area. I'd like you to just take a look at this and identify -- tell me if you identify any CCR related material.
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A. I do not.
Q. Turning to the next page for the boring log for BR 2021-090 also in the Joliet 29 northeast area, can you identify any CCR related material in this boring?
A. The only thing that \(I\) would say, nothing is called out as a specific to a coal ash name, but what I have seen is like bottom ash can be considered a gravel. So some people at times have gotten bottom ash confused. They called it a gravel by the USCS classification, but there's nothing in either one of those borings that calls out coal ash by name.
Q. Turning to the next boring, might be on the back of the page, boring 2021-091, any CCR material in this boring in the northeast area of Joliet 29?
A. No CCR was called out by name.
Q. Anything -- other than called out by name, anything that you would suspect as CCR?
A. Other than my prior comment about sometimes folks can call bottom ash gravel.
Q. And in the next page boring 2021-092 from the Joliet northeast area, do you see any CCR
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related material there in that boring?
A. No CCR that was called out by name.
Q. Or any material that would look like it other than your comment with gravel?
A. Right.
Q. And same, turning the page to \(B R\) 2021-093, boring location in the northeast area of Joliet, anything there appear to you to be CCR related material?
A. None called out by name.
Q. And none other suspect to you other than your comment about gravel?
A. Yes.

MS. NIJMAN: I'm finished with the offer of proof.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. We're outside the offer of proof. Thank you.

MS. NIJMAN: May I just have three or four minutes, and then \(I\) can tie up? I'll be done.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. We're off the for the record for three, four minutes.
(WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the
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record. Thank you.
MS. NIJMAN: We had identified as Exhibit 1110
the Burton testimony that had already been
incorporated into this record. I move to admit
that testimony as Exhibit -- just identified as Exhibit 1110.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Bugel.
MS. BUGEL: Objection. Same objections that we've already stated.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I'm going to allow it. Overruled. What did we name Burton's pre-file exhibit?

MS. NIJMAN: The exhibit number that I noted it for identification was 1110.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So admitted. Thank you. Over objection.

MS. NIJMAN: Thank you.
(WHEREUPON, Respondent's Exhibit
No. 1110 was marked for
identification.)
MS. NIJMAN: That's all I have, sir. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
Ms. Bugel, you want to take a minute before your
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redirect?
MS. BUGEL: I don't actually think I need to take a minute. We're ready.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let me know if you plan to redirect on the Phase 2 that's in the offer of proof already.

MS. BUGEL: Yes, I do.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Just let me know. You may proceed. Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. Mr. Quarles, could you please start by finding Exhibit 1106, and that is the Zarate v. Couch decision you were asked about yesterday.
A. Yes.
Q. And can you provide a little context for that case?
A. Yes. This was a proposed very large regional municipal solid waste landfill that was proposed for this property in what \(I\) would call a fairly sensitive location relative to a watershed lake. It was on a parcel that was adjacent to the lake, and the lake was used as a community drinking water system intake. And then there were private
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wells in the vicinity, so it had -- you know, just by location it had water quality risks.
Q. And what opinion did you offer on putting a landfill on that parcel next to the drinking water lake?
A. I offered the opinion that -- I stated that landfills -- line landfills can leak and sometimes do leak. And if they do and constituents get into the drinking water supply, that can be catastrophic. So my opinion was on the conservative side about location and placement of wells in particular regarding that water quality threat.
Q. And you can set aside Exhibit 1106.

Mr. Quarles, can you tell me how many old cases you've been involved in?
A. Old cases related to testifying?
Q. Well, go ahead. Why don't we -- let me strike that question. Let me reask it.

Can you give an estimate of how many cases, in general, how many cases you have offered testimony in?
A. Golly, I'm trying to imagine my full list. Maybe -- maybe 15 or so, most of which related to
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CCR.
Q. And, Mr. Quarles, how do you feel your memory is of things -- when you're asked about things from several years ago?

MS. NIJMAN: Objection, form.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can answer. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I work on projects all across the country every week, and, you know, the names of sites, numbers and names of wells, depositions. I see -- I see that quite often, and I get thousands of pages that are given to me on some of these cases to review, internal documents, external documents. And so at times it's a challenge to remember particular names, well locations, specifics associated with an individual site. It's easy to get them confused.

BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And how do you handle that when you're preparing for a case?
A. Well, I try to memorize as much as I can, and the prior record and the liability phase had lots and lots of names and numbers and well locations, basin names, numbers, sites, rivers,
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lakes. And it's hard to remember it all. It's a challenge.
Q. And how long ago did you get involved in this case?
A. We first started talking back in 2019, three years ago. And then there were lots of starts and stops, so it was not a continuous process of reviewing information and writing reports.
Q. And what were you provided with in terms of the record in this case?

MS. NIJMAN: I'm gonna object to just the nature of the question as beyond direct.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll allow leeway. You may proceed.

THE WITNESS: I was provided essentially thousands of pages of the record dating back end of the liability phase. And it was provided electronically, and it was -- that's when I began my initial dive into the information.

BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And in that initial dive into the information, what was your process for selecting what to review?

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury
A. So my -- this phase of the process is a remedy phase. So the Board had already reviewed all the prior testimonies of name -- named the folks who, you know, worked on the project, the experts, Seymour Kunkel, whomever. The Board had already reviewed those and came to its conclusion about the liability for the exceedances. And so at this point it's a remedy discussion, and the Board's opinion was the best factual summary of that prior history of the expert opinions.
Q. Can you give an estimate of how many documents you reviewed for preparing for your testimony in this case?
A. Oh, so part -- the first part of the process is when I get a record, an electronic record, is to look at the names of the individual folders as they would relate to a remedy. And then I would flip through those documents and see what they discuss and what they describe. And then those that were really good to talk about, the geology, the hydrogeology, the investigations that were related to groundwater like the Patrick investigation was very, very useful. The KPRG investigations related to groundwater flow and
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quality were very useful.
And I might add I was able to obtain some of the publicly available documents from Midwest Gen's CCR website, for example, related to location restrictions.
Q. Yesterday Ms. Nijman asked you about coal ash indicators relative to the groundwater protection standards. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Have you ever seen a coal ash indicator below groundwater protection standards, but above background?
A. I have.
Q. And what would that tell you?
A. So an indicator parameter is a parameter that is common in coal ash, and it could be a metal. It could be a metal without a threshold standard without a primary drinking water standard. It could be a nonmetal like sulfate.

So when we talk about indications or indicators of a CCR, we commonly look at constituents that both have numeric standards and may not.
Q. And what's the significance of an
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indicator being above background?
A. If it's above background, then if you have a background groundwater sample that's indicative of non-leakage from a CCR disposal area, if it's above background, then that would indicate that you might have a release that is associated with CCR.
Q. And yesterday you also testified about the need for an assessment of corrective measures under the federal CCR rule. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Does the federal CCR rule as currently written apply to historic ash areas?
A. Repeat the question.
Q. Does the federal CCR rule as currently written apply to historic ash areas?
A. No.
Q. And yesterday you also testified that you have not assessed the quantities of ash for removal at the Midwest Generation sites. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Why haven't you assessed the quantities of ash for removal?
A. Because the nature and extent of the
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contamination or the extent of the ash in historic
fill areas hasn't been determined, so it's
impossible to determine the volume.
Q. And yesterday there was some discussion about emptying ponds. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Is dredging a pond the same as closing a pond?
A. It is not.
Q. Can you explain why not?
A. Dredging is simply removing ash that's above the bottom of the pond. And we've seen, too, that some of these ponds are constructed with embankments made out of ash.
Q. And following on, in your experience does dredging a pond remove all ash?
A. If you have a designated identifiable liner on the bottom of it, then you can see what amount of ash has been removed where you get to that bona fide bottom of the liner, the bottom of the impoundment.
Q. Does dredging a pond remove ash underneath the liner?
A. It does not.
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Q. And does dredging a pond remove ash in embankments?
A. It does not.
Q. Does dredging a pond remove ash that might be intermixed with a warning layer of crushed limestone?
A. It does not unless you excavate the warning layer.
Q. Is dredging a pond the same thing as clean closing a pond under the Illinois rule?
A. Clean closing a pond is removing the all aspects of the pond.
Q. And when you say all aspects, what are you referring to?
A. You're removing the ash, the liner, in this case the embankments.
Q. And can you please find Exhibit 1103 in your exhibits up there?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please turn to Bates Page 065928?
A. Okay.
Q. And do you see the heading that says

\section*{results?}
A. Yes.
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Q. And can you please read the first paragraph -- or, you know what, I'll read it out loud. I'm looking at the first paragraph under results. Two of the three impoundments achieved significant groundwater quality improvements after dewatering the enclosure. Of these two impoundments, one was capped and the other was not capped suggesting that the cap had little bearing on overall closure performance. The key factor for achieving concentration reduction at these two facilities was dewatering the ash. Groundwater quality improvement closely parallel improvement predicted using groundwater models at these two sites.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And did I read that correctly?
A. You did.
Q. Can you please tell me what that paragraph says to you?
A. What's important is they evaluated three surface impoundments, and the cap had little bearing of protecting groundwater if ash is in contact with the groundwater. So the key factor,
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whether you construct the cap or not, was
dewatering the ash to result in a groundwater improvement.
Q. And looking at the second paragraph under results, I'm gonna read this one aloud, too. Groundwater quality did not improve at one of the three impoundments. This site differed from the other two in that a portion of the ash was below the current water table, the full extent of which was not known prior to closure of the site and was not reflected in the closure modeling. Dewatering and closure were not effective at this site because leaching continued from the saturated ash. In this particular case could concentrations actually increased because the contact time of groundwater moving through the saturated ash increased when the hydraulic gradient of the pond was removed. A cap would have had little or no effect on this process. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And did I read that correctly?
A. You did.
Q. Can you please tell me what this paragraph means to you?
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
& \quad 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
\]
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A. So I'll jump to the second and third line where it was talking about what was different where it begins with the full extent of which was not known prior to closure of the site. So what that suggests is that they initiated a closure without knowing something that was critical about that ash pond, and groundwater didn't improve as they expected and hoped it would at one of the three impoundments. And in this case dewatering and closure were not effective because leaching continued, and in this case the concentrations actually increased because what they referred to the hydraulic gradient of the pond was removed.

So the takeaway is that -- is that you should understand your impoundment characteristics related to saturation before you design, evaluate and select a closure.
Q. And looking at that paragraph, what's your reaction to the last sentence?
A. A cap would have little or no effect on the process of groundwater improvement where you have ash that's saturated in groundwater.
Q. And yesterday you also talked about the federal CCR rule in relation to your recommendation
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in your two reports. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Do you have an opinion on whether your recommendation goes beyond the federal CCR rule?
A. The recommendation for what part? What --
Q. Well, your recommendation for a nature and extent?
A. No. A nature and extent thoroughly discussed the need in both the federal rule and the Illinois rule.
Q. Is there anything in your recommendation that is inconsistent with the federal rule?
A. No.
Q. And you already answered my question as to the Illinois rule.

Is there anything in your recommendation that is inconsistent with the Illinois rule?
A. No.
Q. I'd like to turn to Exhibit 1108, and this is the presumptive remedy for municipal landfill sites; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'd like to turn it Page 6, and under Number 4 there's a heading that says, Presumptive
```

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Remedy, with five bullet points. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. In particular -- well, what is your understanding of these five bullet points?
A. So these are stated as the objectives for a presumptive remedy for a municipal landfill.
Q. And do you see the second bullet point where it says, minimizing infiltration and the resulting contaminant leaching to groundwater?
A. I do.
Q. And can you think about the Joliet site and tell me, has Midwest Gen minimized infiltration and the resulting contaminant leaching to groundwater at the northeast ash area at Joliet?
A. They've not.
Q. And can you explain why not?
A. There's not an engineered cap that I'm aware of over the landfill area.
Q. And at Joliet has Midwest Gen minimized infiltration and the resulting contaminant leaching to groundwater at the southwest ash area?
A. No.
Q. And can you explain why not?
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A. For the same reason, I'm not aware of an engineered CCR compliant cap over that area.
Q. And thinking about the Waukegan site, has Midwest Gen minimized infiltration and the resulting contaminant leaching to groundwater at the former slag flash storage area at Waukegan?
A. The cap would minimize infiltration from above, from precipitation, but it would not minimize infiltration of that lateral inflow of groundwater through the ash below ground and wouldn't -- as such, one could expect continued contaminant leaching to groundwater.
Q. Turning to Powerton, has Midwest Gen minimized infiltration and the resulting contaminant leaching to groundwater from ash outside of the ponds at Powerton?
A. No.
Q. And can you explain why not?
A. There -- everybody knows about the former ash basin, and it doesn't have an engineered cap, and it's expected to be closed. There are other suspected historical fill areas that are in the area that have not been thoroughly examined, and as a result, too, there's no planned cap over that or
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there's no -- not enough information to know if a cap would be a correct closure to minimize future infiltration because we don't know to what degree ash in the historical areas may also be below ground.
Q. And it turning to Will County, has

Midwest Gen minimized infiltration and the
resulting contaminant leaking to groundwater from ash outside of the ponds at Will County?

MS. NIJMAN: I'm gonna object to way beyond the scope of redirect.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry?
MS. NIJMAN: Way beyond -- these questions are way beyond the scope of the cross-examination, and none of this was discussed. The only cap presumptive remedy that was discussed at Waukegan which Miss Bugel's already talked about, so we're way beyond where we should be at this point.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Bugel.
MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, this Exhibit 1108 was discussed at length. My question relates to the exhibit, and that is fair game within the scope of redirect to question about an exhibit that has been introduced through this witness and admitted
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into the record.
MS. NIJMAN: This exhibit was specific cited by Mr. Quarles as to address the Waukegan recommendation of a cap only, and that's how it was addressed on cross.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: How much more do you have, Ms. Bugel? I may give you a little more latitude depending.

MS. BUGEL: This is my last question about this exhibit.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. You may proceed. Overruled.

BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. Okay. So turning to Will County, has Midwest Generation minimized infiltration and the resulting contaminant leaching to groundwater from ash outside of the ponds at Will County?
A. No.
Q. And can you explain why not?
A. For the same reason, we know we have ash below ground outside of the active ash basin. And we don't know to what extent the ash extends laterally, and we don't have any detailed information about to what degree that lateral
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placement of waste is wet. And there's no plan to contain it or to evaluate a full remedy.
Q. And, oh, next I want to turn to the Board's decision from June 20, 2019. And, Mr. Quarles, were you ever given a copy of that? If not, I've got copies.
A. Yeah, let me see that.
Q. And can you please turn to Page 67 of the Board's decision?
A. Yes.
Q. And this Page 67, if you just flip back, you know, to 64, 65, you can see that this is discussing Waukegan.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then on 67 do you see the heading,

Coal Ash in Fill Areas?
A. I do.
Q. And do you see the first sentence, the record also shows the presence of coal ash buried around the ponds going as deep as 22 feet below ground surface?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And earlier today Ms. Nijman pointed you
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to the very last sentence that talks about three feet of overlap.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Can you please explain how those two points relate to your testimony?
A. The presence of coal ash buried around the ponds going as deep as 22 feet below ground surface, and at the bottom it's talking about 3 feet of overlap of water. The challenge with the borings is that some of the borings are measured in this case near the fill areas. So we know we have coal ash buried 22 feet below ground, and I've seen groundwater data that in discussions that say the aquifer -- uppermost aquifer is about 5 feet below ground. So there's plenty of evidence that there's more than 3 feet of ash that's saturated in groundwater.
Q. And can you please look at -- let's see. I've got the fourth sentence after Coal Ash in Fill Areas heading where it says, further, the boring logs indicate the condition of the samples at depths of 10 to 20 feet as "wet" or "slightly moist."
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## Do you see that statement?

A. I do.
Q. What does that tell you?
A. So in a boring log when you are pulling up soil intervals, you're making an evaluation of the soil type and the characteristics, and one of the characteristics you are recording and observing is whether or not it's wet, dry, or moist. And they're saying here the condition of the samples at depths 10 to 20 feet were wet or slightly moist meaning they were saturated.
Q. Okay. And in that case do you have an understanding of saturated with what?
A. In this case below ground it would be the uppermost aquifer.
Q. I think we can set aside the Board decision, and next can you please find Exhibits 1110 and 1111?

MS. NIJMAN: This portion is to be designated.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is this Phase 2?
MS. BUGEL: Let me ask -- I will limit my first question to Exhibit 1110 so that we can then be more clear on the record about what is the offer of proof.
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BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. First, looking at Exhibit 1110, do you know where this -- well, let me restate this.

You discussed sediment sampling in relation to this exhibit. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. And do you know where this sediment sampling took place?
A. The specific locations I'm aware of are from the Exhibit 1111.
Q. Okay. Why don't you turn to the figures towards the back of the exhibit starting at 107871? That's the Bates Number 107871.
A. Yeah.
Q. And looking at those figures, do you generally know where this sampling took place?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And where is that?
A. In the northeast section of that. Again, across the river from the DR 08-27. Over the northeast corner would be the BR 080 looks like DR 08-29.
Q. Okay. So referring to the DR 08-29, and I'm asking very generally, like a city or a region,
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do you know where that is?
A. It looks to be down -- down proximity depending on the direction of flow of the river, but it's near an urban area. And that's one of the things that the Kaskaskia report discussed. It was in an urban environment.
Q. Right. And I'm trying to just -- do you know what Midwest Gen plant that is near?
A. Yes. That is the -- that's the Joliet plant.
Q. Thank you.

And we can set aside Exhibit 1110 and turn to Exhibit 1111.

MS. BUGEL: And this is the exhibit that was taken on an offer of proof, Hearing Officer.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're within the offer of proof now. Thank you. BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And turning to the -- I'm turning to the first tab, Attachment A boring location map, Brandon Road Interbasin Project.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And looking at -- turning to the -- it
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doesn't say Figure 1, but Attachment A, boring location plan. There's a little -- in the
right-hand corner with the satellite image it says
Page 27. Do you see that? Right-hand side?
A. Yes, yes, yes.
Q. And there's a little box that says one?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So based on looking at these
satellite images in this and subsequent pages, do you know where this sampling took place?
A. I do.
Q. And where is that?
A. It's according to this scale approximately anywhere from -- those three locations are anywhere
from an inch to 2 inches away from the shoreline which would be 70 to a hundred feet, 140 feet off of the shoreline.
Q. Off of the shoreline.

And do you know what plant of
Midwest Gen's this is in the vicinity of?
MS. NIJMAN: Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: It's Joliet.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
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BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And just to make sure the record is clear, I think people were speaking over each other, Mr. Quarles, can you answer again?
A. Joliet.
Q. And can you please turn to the very first page of this document, the executive summary?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'd like you to look at the second sentence, and I'll read it for the benefit of everybody. The primary objective for the request of services were to define overall site geology and engineering properties of site soils and bedrock, and to perform engineering analyses related to bedrock excavation, electrical conductivity and grounding for the proposed electrical barrier feature and design recommendations for future structural features.

## Do you see that?

A. I do.
Q. And do you have an understanding of whether this was a nature and extent study for a CCR site?
A. Well, it's certainly not a nature and
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extent study. And according to that primary objective, it has nothing to do with an environmental investigation looking for CCR contaminants. It's defining site geology and engineering properties of soil and rock for an electrical barrier feature.
Q. And when you looked at the borings for -that are attached to this Phase 2 report, you said in your testimony that CCR material might be recorded as gravel in a boring. Can you explain that further?
A. So when you -- when you create a boring log, you're evaluating the characteristics of what you see, and you are looking at the boring log in Tab 2, the one of the main columns is classification of materials. And in this case you see the capital letters where they say a silty loam or sandy clay loam or dolomite, and those are standard terms used by the industry that are associated with particle size, for example.

So I have seen some consultants, for example, call fly ash a sand because fly ash is in the size of a sand-sized particle according to the classification system. Similarly on gravel, as
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I've -- I have seen bottom ash be called a gravel.
MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, we're done with Exhibit 1111 now.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. We're outside the offer of proof.

BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And, Mr. Quarles, in the course of preparing your testimony and in the course of your testimony over the last two days, have you seen any sediment sampling from the vicinity of the Waukegan coal plant?

MS. NIJMAN: Objection, goes beyond the scope of the cross which was limited to Joliet.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you rephrase that? Or could you read that question back, please?
(WHEREUPON, the record was read as requested.)

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, if I may respond, sediment sampling has been highly contested in the past couple of days, and there have been in the course of that -- there's been a lot of emphasis on the need for and the importance of sediment sampling, and this goes to exactly where we have it
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from and where we don't have it from in relation to complainant's remedy request.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Nijman.
MS. NIJMAN: Which is something that could have been addressed on direct but was not and is already in Mr. Quarles' report which is in the record. On cross my direction -- my questions were limited to Joliet 29.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. I've already given some latitude, Ms. Bugel, so Ms. Nijman's objection is sustained. Move on. Thank you. BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. Okay. I'd like to pull up Exhibit 1109.
A. What is that?
Q. This is the location restriction that was attached to the application for the operating permit. And, Mr. Quarles, I'm looking at the paragraph --

MS. NIJMAN: Could you just hold on one second? I think we gave all our copies away, so we're trying to find one.

BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. Referring to Exhibit 1109, if you can flip to the page after the title page, two pages after?
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A. Okay.
Q. And under heading number one, Placement Location Restriction Determination, if you can take a minute just to review that paragraph?
A. Okay.
Q. And my question is, can you tell me what evidence there is in this paragraph on the placement location restriction determination in support of the conclusion?
A. Well, it's -- there's 3 feet difference between the base of the surge basin and the what they call the upper limit of the groundwater. So it doesn't meet the -- it's not separated by the 5-foot standard in the state and federal rule.

And then they go on to talk about they're claiming that there is no intermittent, recurring or sustained connection, hydraulic connection, between the base and the uppermost aquifer; but yet there is not the minimum 5 feet separation.
Q. So my question is, is there any support for the conclusion that this comparison demonstrated that an intermittent recurring or sustained hydraulic connection between any portion of the base of the ASB and the uppermost aquifer
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## due to normal fluctuations in groundwater elevations is not present?

A. So they're basing it on groundwater data from wells around the perimeter. And so they're saying that it's not hydraulically connected even intermittently, but yet the idea of that 5-foot separation is that -- is that it has to be between the seasonal high water table which they're stating was -- during that 10-year period was 449 and the base which is 452. So, again, there is still not that 5 -foot separation.
Q. And the elevations that you cited, are those -- I'm sorry. The groundwater monitoring well data that you cited, is that provided here in this paragraph?
A. It does. It mentions -- according to them that was there, the base of the surge basin and the upper limit what they call was 449. And the separation intent is that you have --

MS. NIJMAN: Objection. We're going beyond the question that was asked.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry?
MS. NIJMAN: There's no question pending.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. No question.
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Sustained.
BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And, Mr. Quarles, do you have an understanding of why 5 feet is the separation requirement by the federal CCR rule on placement location restrictions?

MS. NIJMAN: I'm gonna object to the mischaracterization of the rule. The witness has already testified that the rule contains two separate requirements, the 5 feet or the intermittent, recurring or sustained hydraulic connection. It's not one standard that applies.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I believe I heard that. Ms. Bugel, can you rephrase? BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. Do you have an understanding of why 5 feet is provided by the location restriction as one of the two prongs that need to be met --

MS . NIJMAN: No.
BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. -- under the federal CCR rule?

MS. NIJMAN: Same objection. It's not one of two prongs. It's either or.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if
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he's able. Overruled.
THE WITNESS: The 5-foot separation, it's quite common that in a RCRA solid waste landfill design standard is that there is a specified number of feet that should separate the bottom of the unit, the disposal unit, and the top of the aquifer. And what that does is we've learned in the historical record that liners can and sometimes do leak, right. So it gives a little bit of a separation between that uppermost aquifer and the bottom of the waste in the event that that happens.

And then, secondly, you may be monitoring quarterly or monthly, and you may not be recording data that would be the highest that actually occurs during that 10 -year period. Because if you get a substantial rainfall on, for example, January the 5th, and the water rises 3 feet, 4 feet, and you sample out there are at the end of the month or the next month, you may show a groundwater elevation that's much lower.

BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And, Mr. Quarles, if there is not a 5-foot separation and groundwater or an aquifer rises up to be in contact with a pond liner, if
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## there's a tear in the liner, would there be a hydraulic connection between the bottom of the pond and the aquifer?

MS. NIJMAN: Again, we are way beyond cross-examination here, and the document has nothing do with liners or anything else.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Bugel.
MS. BUGEL: Again, location restrictions and the two different ways that location restrictions can be demonstrated has been at issue. The hydraulic connection has been at issue, and this is -- I'm asking for his expert opinion on scenarios where there might be a hydraulic connection especially with evidence in the record of liner tears at Midwest Gen ponds.

MS. NIJMAN: Again, way beyond the scope of my cross-examination. My cross-examination related to the finding at this one location where we don't have evidence, and all that we're getting is a speculation of what might happen and could happen and potentially did happen. I'm not getting any specifics, and it's beyond the scope of the cross-examination.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. I'm not too
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worried about the speculation. We are going afield of the cross-examination. What I can do, I can sustain Ms. Nijman's objection; and if you feel strongly, $I$ can let it in as an offer of proof.

MS. BUGEL: I don't feel strongly.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
Sustained. Thank you.
BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. And, Mr. Quarles, for the placement above the uppermost aquifer location restriction demonstration, who bears the burden on making that demonstration?
A. Midwest Gen.

MS. BUGEL: Thank you, Hearing Officer. I have no further questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. We're off the record for a second.
(WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Back on the record, please. All right. We're gonna take an hour-and-15-minute lunch, so please be back here by 1:20, something like that. Thank you.
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WHEREUPON, a short recess was
taken.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Back on the record. We're in the middle of recross or, excuse me, just started recross with Ms. Nijman, Midwest Gen, of Mr. Quarles. He's still under oath. You may proceed.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. Mr. Quarles, on redirect Ms. Bugel asked you about the Zarate, $Z-a-r-a-t-e$, versus Couch case. Do you remember?
A. I do.
Q. Now, when we talked about that case yesterday, isn't it true that each of the opinions identified -- that we identified at the hearing were explicitly rejected by the ALJ in that case?

MS. BUGEL: Object to the characterization of the testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you rephrase that in any way? Otherwise, I'll let it go.

MS. NIJMAN: I'd lake to hear the answer.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may proceed.
Overruled.
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THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. State the question again.

BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. Of the opinions that you and I went through from the Zarate versus Couch case, each of those opinions was explicitly rejected your opinions explicitly rejected by the administrative law judge, correct?
A. That's right. It was not persuasive.
Q. Thank you.

You spoke a little bit with Ms. Bugel
about not having identified the quantities of ash that would be required for a theoretical removal project, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you did not review Mr. Kunkel's expert report, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you're not aware of whether Mr. Kunkel identified quantities of ash for a potential removal?
A. I'm not.
Q. You were speaking with Ms. Bugel about the Waukegan grassy field area or FS fill area,
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## correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. The area to the west of the ponds, so we're all clear.
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And you were comparing it to the EPRI report of not knowing enough information about the area, correct?

MS. BUGEL: Object, mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. Please tell me if I'm mischaracterizing your testimony, but I'm just trying to get to the question as a foundation.
A. Well, we know that it's a historical fill area, and we know that some of the ash is saturated and how deep it is. We have wells NW 5 on the downgradient side which is the upgraded well for the active monitoring system, but I haven't seen a volume calculation of the amount of ash or the thickness of the ash that's saturated.
Q. I think you testified during my cross yesterday that you had not reviewed the sampling data from the Waukegan fill area that was done by
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Midwest Generation in 2022. Excuse me, the field data was taken in 2020.
A. I don't remember specifically, but I guess my answer is no.
Q. So you're not aware that 40 borings were taken in that grassy field area?
A. Yep, yep, yep.
Q. And those samples were analyzed for CCR parameters, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And those samples --
A. That's right.
Q. -- included leaf testing, right? L-e-a-f.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Okay. So we do know what is exactly in that area, correct?
A. The leaf testing, I don't remember how many samples were analyzed out of that -- of the 40 borings.
Q. But we took 40 borings investigating that area, so we know what is in that area, do we not?

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, I'm gonna object
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to this line of questions as being beyond the scope of the cross. We talked about the EPRI report, but we did not question -- I did not question

Mr. Quarles about the Waukegan field investigation at all.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: As I gave you my latitude, I will give Ms. Nijman latitude. Overruled. You may proceed.

MS. NIJMAN: Thank you. BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. And I'm also referring back to the statement you made to Ms. Bugel that there was 5 feet of -- that the groundwater elevation was at 5 feet. I'd like to get there which is where I'm going and why this is relevant.

So you're aware, though, that there were borings taken then, 40 borings taken, and that would include an identification of where groundwater is throughout the area, correct?
A. It should have.
Q. And it's not 5 feet, is it?
A. I don't remember for those 40 borings.
Q. Okay. Well, you mentioned 5 feet on your testimony, so you're correcting that now that you
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don't remember?
A. Well, my testimony -- I remember borings that were in the vicinity around the east and west basin that talked about the depth of groundwater below the natural ground surface.
Q. Well, you specifically said groundwater was saturated in that area 5 feet. So that's not correct, is it?
A. If you'll show me boring logs for those 40 borings, I'd be glad to see what depth groundwater was reported in those borings.
Q. Ms. Bugel showed you Exhibit 1109 again which was the placement above the uppermost aquifer location restrictions, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Have you reviewed in detail the operating permit application that was -- that accompanied this placement statement?
A. I've not reviewed the application of the operating permit.
Q. So you're not aware that the operation permit application contains all the supporting data for these statements?
A. I haven't seen the operating permit
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application.
Q. You see that this document

Bates-numbered 109814 is signed by an Illinois professional engineer, correct?
A. I'm sorry. Which document are you talking about?
Q. The placement document, Exhibit 1109.
A. Okay. Yes, it is.
Q. And certified, correct, by an Illinois licensed professional engineer?
A. It is.
Q. And you're not a professional engineer, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. When a licensed professional engineer certifies something, they are certifying that they have the data to support it, are they not?
A. They are.
Q. Thank you.

You also were discussing with Ms. Bugel
the boring logs that I had shown you.
MS. NIJMAN: I'm sorry. This may be part of offer of proof question.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Yes. We're
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in the offer in proof. Thank you.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. You mentioned on the boring logs that you
saw --
MS. NIJMAN: Oh, actually, I'm sorry,
Mr. Halloran. This is not. Unrelated.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Unrelated. We're outside the offer of proof. Thank you.

MS. NIJMAN: Apologies.
BY MS. NIJMAN:
Q. You were referring to Page 67 of the Board order when you talked about boring logs and referencing that wet means saturated, right?
A. Wet is a term that's commonly used on a boring log for saturated.
Q. But moist does not equate to saturated, correct?
A. That's right.

MS. NIJMAN: Okay. That's all I have.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
Ms. Nijman.
Miss Bugel, re-redirect?
MS. BUGEL: We have re-redirect, we just need a moment to pull out an exhibit.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Off the record for a minute. Thanks.
(WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are back on the record. Thank you.

MS. BUGEL: Just one or two questions, Hearing Officer.
RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BUGEL:
Q. Mr. Quarles, you've been asked a lot of questions in the past two days about various exhibits. Are you able to remember exactly what any specific exhibit says, for instance, what a boring log says without having it in front of you?

MS. NIJMAN: I'm gonna object to beyond the scope of my very brief recross.

THE WITNESS: No.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he's able. I think he just did.

THE WITNESS: No.
MS. BUGEL: Thank you. No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. All
right. We're off the record. You may step down.
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## (Witness excused.)

(WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: On the record.
Ms. Nijman stated she has some housekeeping issues before we start our direct on Mr. Wannier's witness.

MS. NIJMAN: Yes, Mr. Hearing Officer. Based on the testimony we've heard from Mr. Quarles, we again renew our objection to the Quarles opinion based on our motion in limine to preserve our rights under Rule of Evidence 103 that he entirely disregarded opinions by complainant's original expert, never mentioned the expert, never reviewed any of his information, and, therefore, failed to build on or amplify any of the opinions as required by the hearing officer's order and Illinois law.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Your objection is so noted.

MR. WANNIER: You don't need a response then?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: If you'd like.
MR. WANNIER: I'll just say it's our belief that Ms. Nijman has completely misconstrued the hearing officer's order and also ignored and
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misconstrued the subsequent order from the Board, and we obviously disagree both with the legal conclusions and with her characterization of Mr. Quarles' testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
Everything is in the transcript. The Board will take a look at it.

You may proceed, Mr. Wannier.
MR. WANNIER: Thank you, your Honor. We'd like to call complainant's expert witness, Jonathan Shefftz.
(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)

MS. GALE: And, Mr. Hearing Officer, again a bit of housekeeping, to preserve our appeal under Rules of Evidence 103, we object to and reassert our objections that are outlined in our motion in limine to the opinions given by Mr. Shefftz because they are not based upon facts or data that are reasonably relied upon.

The data that he relied upon in on Table 6 of the Kunkel remedy report has no basis or foundation in fact. There are no facts to support assumptions fed by Mr. Shefftz by complainant's
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counsel, and he admits that he has no independent knowledge or expertise that would allow him to rely upon Kunkel's table or counsel's report.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I was kind of waiting to see what he had to say first. I haven't ruled on your most recent motion in limine. I believe it came in, and then they filed a response. But, in any event, that's still laying out there. I think I just ruled on the motion incorporated.

MR. WANNIER: If I could clarify.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let Ms. Gale finish.
MS. GALE: I just want to clarify for the record, Mr. Hearing Officer. What we are talking about is preserving our rights under the motions in limine that were filed a year ago.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I can't keep up because there's been a plethora, but the transcript record so notes. Thank you.

Mr. Wannier.
MR. WANNIER: I had the same clarification
Miss Gale just offered.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
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A. Since spring of 2006 .
Q. And how long were you in your previous position?
A. Since 1992 after finishing graduate school at Harvard University.
Q. That leads into my next question. What's your educational background?
A. I have an undergraduate degree majoring in economics and an interdisciplinary major in historical political economy at Amherst College where I graduated magna cum laude and Phi Beta Kappa. And then I obtained a master's degree in public policy with two concentrations of government and business and energy and environmental policy at the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University.
Q. What professional societies, if any, are you involved with?
A. The main one I've been involved with is the National Association For Forensic Economics. I previously served as a regional vice president and also have served as a referee -- article referee for their peer-reviewed journal. I also have memberships in other professional societies, but
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I've not been involved to the same extent that I have been with the previous one I mentioned.
Q. Any other activities you'd like to share related to your consultant practice such as volunteer positions?
A. I have a number of volunteer activities both current and in the past including chairing the town planning board in Amherst and also serving as the treasurer for three different entities, a local house -- religious house of worship, the U.S. Ski Mountaineers Association, and coming up at the beginning of next year the American Avalanche Association.

## Q. Starting immediately after graduate

 school, what were your job responsibilities over the course of your time at Industrial Economics?A. So I started off as an associate and then became a senior associate, so essentially starting off as a kind of mid level analyst and then becoming a senior manager. I essentially performed and managed analyses including work like in this case and also more broadly public policy work which I sometimes described as looking at the environmental impact of economic regulations and
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the economic impact of environmental regulations.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you --
Mr. Shefftz, without being a contortionist, could you kind of talk to the court reporter.

THE WITNESS: Talk to the court reporter.
Sure. I'm used to having a microphone. BY MR. WANNIER:
Q. And can you describe your current consulting practice?
A. So my current consulting practice, it still has some potent policy work such as analyzing proposed regulations and permit applications, but most of it is serving as an expert witness, not necessarily in caption cases or testifying in person, but in different types of litigation where there's potential for expert testimony or at least an expert report. So that includes cases like this one, opining on the same topics, as well as other types of litigation disputes entirely unrelated to the environment, so business damages, personal losses, topics like that. Basically anything -anything having to do with financial economics in the context of litigation disputes. Sometimes also corporate control issues.
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Q. Have you published?
A. Yes. I have published three articles, two of them on economic benefit issues, and one of them on taxation issues and commercial damages.
Q. And have you made any public presentations?
A. Yes. I've made I think it's a couple dozen or so public presentations. Some of them have been on economic benefit. Others have been on different types of damages topics at economics conferences entirely unrelated to the environment.
Q. Have you ever testified as an expert witness in depositions, administrative hearings or trials?
A. Yes, probably several dozen times by now.
Q. So, well, my next question was, about how many times have you done that? I take it your answer stands?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times have you been qualified as an expert witness in administrative proceedings, federal court or state court trials?
A. It's been a few dozen times.
Q. Okay.
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A. Basically all the times I've testified.
Q. And have you ever worked as an expert in environmental enforcement cases on behalf of defendant?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. About how many times?
A. The last couple or so years I think it's about half a dozen cases.
Q. Thank you.

On what topics have you been hired to opine in this case?
A. So in this case I was opined -- I was retained to opine on the potential economic benefit that was gained by respondent and the economic impact on respondent of a penalty and compliance cost.
Q. Okay. So let's start with the economic benefit issue. Have you previously worked on calculating the economic benefit of noncompliance?
A. Yes, I have, dating back to 1992.
Q. Please describe in a little more detail your experience with that --
(Reporter clarification.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You're trailing off
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right at the end, Mr. Wannier.
BY MR. WANNIER:
Q. Please describe in a little more detail your experience with economic benefit calculations.
A. So much of that has been in the context of casework similar to this matter. I was also very heavily involved from 1992 into 2017 in providing support to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on its BEN computer. That's B-E-N all capital letters, no periods, for the court reporter.

So that model allows financial laypersons to perform economic benefit calculations in a more routine matter. In addition to work directly on the model, I also provided support to USEPA in aspects such as developing training materials to the model, delivering in-person training courses to federal and state staff, and supporting EPA in various public comment processes and drafting guidance, documentation and activities, what not.
Q. So for all types of clients combined on either side including the government work, approximately how many economic benefit calculations do you estimate that you have performed since you first started working in this
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## field in 1992?

A. I've worked on many hundreds of cases. If we wanted to count individual calculations, it would probably be in the thousands by now, many thousands.

## Q. Okay. And you mentioned EPA's BEN model.

 Did you use the BEN model you helped create in this case?A. No, I did not.
Q. Why not?
A. Not to criticize the BEN model, I'm kind of proud of it since I'm the one who created the current version of it back in 1988, but although it would produce accurate results in a case like this, it would end up producing dozens or hundreds of pages as opposed to the relatively small number of tables that $I$ was able to condense my calculations to in this case.

And also the main point of the BEN model is for financial laypersons to use it as opposed to someone like myself who is specializing in this area, and therefore I can produce spreadsheets that accomplish the same calculations but in a more presentable manner, easily presentable and
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comprehensible manner.
Q. In your opinion what is the purpose of calculating economic benefit for noncompliance?
A. Although I'm not an attorney, and I'm not here to offer a legal opinion, from the viewpoint of as an economist, the point of calculating economic benefit is to quantify the extent to which an entity is financially better off from its violations of environmental regulations with the idea that a penalty at least to -- to at least create financial indifference has to recapture the probability weighted amount of that economic benefit.
Q. And you mentioned in your response that a penalty should at least create financial indifference. In what circumstances should a penalty do more than recapture financial indifference?
A. If the goal of the penalty is not just to make a violator financially indifferent between compliance versus noncompliance, then additional amounts would be necessary to be added to the probability to adjust economic benefit to achieve financial deterrence. In the USEPA world of its
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various penalty policies, they call that the gravity component of the penalty in addition to the economic benefit component.
Q. Can you expand a little more on that probability concept regarding detection and prosecution and payment from the perspective of an economic analysis of the law?
A. Sure. So what I'd like to use is an analogy, and one that actually was true when $I$ was at graduate school in Harvard. Parking was tight when I didn't have a permit one year for one of the student lots, and there was ample street parking, but only for a short amount of time. So if the City of Cambridge wanted to deter people like me from parking there illegally, how much should the parking fee -- how much should the fine be set to.

One approach would be, well, just set it equal to the price of parking in a nearby commercial garage. However, that would establish only financial indifference between getting a parking ticket versus paying for the nearby garage. So an additional amount would be necessary. Moreover, even if the amount was set exactly equal to a parking garage, that still would not establish
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financial indifference because $I$ knew that there was some chance that if I didn't park there too long, the meter enforcement would not be coming by during that interval, so $I$ would get away with the illegal parking and not have to pay anything at all.

So ideally the parking fine should be, in order to establish indifference in the first place, would need to be equal to legal parking weighted by the chance on whether or not the enforcement authority would ticket in time.

MR. WANNIER: May we approach, your Honor?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may. (WHEREUPON, Claimant's Exhibit

No. 1201 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. WANNIER:
Q. I'm going to place in place in front of you what we have marked as Exhibit Number 1201.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, this document is noted as contains non-disclosable information which the parties have agreed to and have a standing agreed order to maintain it as non-disclosed information. So we request that this
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be on a separate record or transcript and that we make sure that when it is entered into as an exhibit, it is marked accordingly with the Board and secluded or excluded from the general record.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. That's kind of a big mistake, Mr. Wannier. Do you plan to question Mr. Shefftz on this non-disclosable?

MR. WANNIER: Yes. I was planning to make this request as the first question. We haven't -- I haven't asked any questions about it, but we don't have any objection to that, and we were planning to request confidential treatment for the questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry. You're tailing off again.

MR. WANNIER: We were planning to request confidential treatment for discussion of this exhibit, and I just hadn't gotten there yet because I was waiting for the exhibits to be distributed, but I agree with Miss Gale that would be appropriate.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do we need to put some sort of sign up in the room saying this is a closed hearing now or take back some of these exhibits that are passed out?
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MS. GALE: Well, this is the first one, Mr. Hearing Officer.

MS. WACHSPRESS: There are three that are marked as non-disclosable.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. So should we take -- I just have one up here that I guess has non-disclosable stuff in it.

MS. NIJMAN: Yes, anyone who's not a party to the proceeding should be asked to leave during this.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I totally agree. And I'll just keep an eye out for the doors.

Anybody not affiliated with the parties, I would ask to leave at this point. The Board employees can stay obviously.

THE WITNESS: I think the only non-disclosable part is one very small part of this report. I don't know if it matters, but $I$ think there's only one line of questioning that's going to come in the end that contains the non-disclosable information.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're off the record now.
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| 68:12,13,16 | condense 131:17 | contamination | 49:2,11,14,22 | create 103:12 |
| comparing 114:6 | condition 97:22 | 9:13 10:6,8,9,13 | 53:6,9 56:2,5,14 | 131:7 132:11,15 |
| comparison 19:1 | 98:9 | 10:15 86:1 | 57:18,22 58:5,18 | created 39:23 |
| 51:10 52:10 | conducti | contested 104:20 | 58:19,22 59:1,20 | 131:12 |
| 56:10 58:17,17 | 37:23 102:15 | context 79:16 | 59:21 60:4,13,17 | critical 90:6 |
| 59:22 60:2 | conferences | 127:23 130:5 | 62:4 63:20 64:6 | criticize 131:11 |
| 61:24 62:16 | 128:11 | continuation | 64:7,9 65:1,7,8 | cross 30:14 45:16 |
| 64:12 67:18 | confidentia | 63:22 | 65:11,24 66:3,7 | 55:11 95:5 |
| 106:21 | 135:12,16 | continued 3:17:5 | 66:8,11,16,19 | 104:13 105:7 |
| Complainant 3:8 | confirm 74:15 | 89:13 90:11 | 67:10,14,18 | 114:22 116:2 |
| 3:20 4:9 | confused 76:10 | 93:11 | 68:13,17,20,21 | cross-examinati... |
| complainant's | 81:17 | continues | 69:3,13 72:4,7 | 8:19 34:5 35:24 |
| 105:2 121:13 | connected | continuing 43:10 | 73:5,21 74:17 | 36:16,19 94:14 |
| 122:10,24 | connection 13:18 | 43:15 | 75:17 94:2 | 110:5,17,17,23 |
| complainants 1:7 | 14:4 19:3 | continuous 82 | 113:8,14,17,18 | 111:2 |
| 25:18 33:8 | 106:17,17,23 | contortion | 114:1,8 115:18 | cross-exhibit |
| 35:10 | 108:12 110:2,11 | 127:3 | 116:19 117:8 | 33:10 |
| complaints 26:1 | 110:14 | contracted | 118:4,9,13,14 | crossing 7:23 41:9 |
| completely 35:14 | conservat | control 1:1 2:3 7:4 | 119:17 138:10 | crushed 87:5 |
| 121:23 | 0: | :15 24:12 | correcting 116:24 | CSR 1:15,23 |
| compliance 9:3,4 | considered | 127:24 | corrective 10:7,17 | cum 125:11 |
| 11:5 129:15 | constituents 31:4 | conveyed | 85:8 | current 89:9 |
| 132:21 | 80:8 8 | 73:16 | correctly $20: 5$ | 124:10 126:7 |
| compliant 93:2 | constr | copies 32 | 88:17 89:21 | 127:8,10 131:13 |
| component 133:2 | constructed 13: | 5:20 | rresponds 40:8 | currently 23:17 |
| 133:3 | 86:13 | copper 62:22 | cost 129:16 | 85:11,14 |
| comprehensible | consultant 126:4 | copy 12:11 19:10 | Couch 79:14 | CX 5:2 |
| $132: 1$ | consultants | $32: 2270: 15$ | 112:11 113:5 | D |
| computer 130:9 | 103:21 | 96:5 | counsel 19:10 |  |
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| 128:10 | 118:4,10,12,15 | 83:11 130:23 | 8:9,10 13:2 16:5 | 122:10 127:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| economist 132:6 | Engineer's 37:14 | evaluate 90:16 | 16:19,24 23:1,6 | 27:16,17 |
| economy 125:10 | engineered 92:18 | 96:2 | 32:8,13 33:3 | 128:12,21 129:2 |
| ecosystems 51:15 | 93:2,20 | evaluated 88:21 | 38:17 39:24 | expertise 123:2 |
| edge 72:24 73:4 | engineering 10:20 | evaluating 103:13 | 40:3,12,21 41:18 | experts 83:5 |
| educational 125:7 | 27:3 37:16,20,22 | evaluation 98:5 | 43:2 48:20 51:2 | explain 71:3 86:10 |
| effect 89:18 90:20 | 102:13,14 103:5 | event 109:11 | 51:4 52:1,18 | 92:17,24 93:18 |
| effective 89:12 | ensure 54:4 | 123:8 | 54:18 55:15 | 95:19 97:5 |
| 90:10 | entered 135:2 | everybody $32: 17$ | 59:6 60:16 | 103:11 |
| effectiveness 10:7 | entire 11:24 12:4 | 93:19 102:11 | 63:23 69:16 | explicitly 112:17 |
| 10:16 | 41:7 47:17 | everybody's 34 | 70:14,16 71:13 | 113:6,7 |
| effects 50:7,9 | entirely 121:12 | evidence 8:12 | 71:23 73:19 | extends 95:22 |
| 51:12,14,16,17 | 127:19 128:11 | 26:4 30:2,6 | 75:10 78:2,5,6 | extent 31:20 46:5 |
| 51:21 | entities 126:9 | 46:19 97:16 | 78:12,13,18 | 85:24 86:1 89:9 |
| Effluent 24: | entity $132: 8$ | 106:7 110:14,19 | 79:13 80:14 | 90:3 91:7,8 |
| 26:16 | environment 1:6 | 121:12 122:16 | 87:17 91:19 | 95:22 102:22 |
| either 28:21 76:12 | 100:6 127:20 | exactly 104:24 | 94:20,22,23 95:2 | 103:1 126:1 |
| 108:23 130:21 | 128:11 | 115:17 120:13 | 95:10 98:22 | 132:7 |
| elected 32:18 | environmental | 133:23 | 99:2,5,10,12 | external 81:13 |
| electrical 37:23,24 | 1:3 2:8,9 4:4 7:7 | exam 10:19 | 100:12,13,14 | eye 136:12 |
| 102:15,16 103:6 | 15:13 42:13 | examination 36:7 | 104:3 105:13,23 |  |
| electronic 24:11 | 44:16 48:1 | 79:10 120:9 | 117:12 118:7 | F |
| 83:15 | 103:3 124:15 | 124:4 | 119:24 120:14 | F 125:15 |
| electronically | 125:14 126:2 | examined 8: | 134:14,19 135:3 | face 18:9 |
| 82:19 | 127:1 129:3 | 93:23 124:3 | 135:17 | facilities 88:11 |
| element 4 | 130:8 132:9 | example 14:9 63:8 | exhibits 87:18 | fact 22:13,23 26:5 |
| elevation 14:11,13 | EPA 11:11,15 | 84:4 103:20,22 | 98:18 120:13 | 26:6 122:23 |
| 20:20 109:19 | 26:15 50:21 | 109:16 | 135:18,24 | factor 88:9,24 |
| 116:13 | 130:17 | excavate 8 | exist 73:8 | facts 30:2,6 |
| elevations 13:21 | EPA's 11:5 25:8 | excavation 37:23 | existing 13:6 | 122:19,23 |
| 15:24 19:6 | 131:6 | 102:15 | exists 35:6 | factual 83:9 |
| 107: | EP | ex | exp | failed 121:15 |
| embankments | equal 133:18,23 | exception 73:9,12 | expansions 13: | fails 73:20 |
| 86:14 87:2,16 | 134:9 | excerpt 12:12 | expect 48:15 | ir 94:22 |
| mphasis 104:22 | equ | excerpts 32:1 | 93:11 | fairly 79:21 |
| mployees 136:16 |  | 33:24 | expected 90:8 | Faith 3:3 7:9 |
| employment | especially 110:14 | excluded 135 | 93:21 | familiar 9:4 22 |
| 124:10 | Essence 2:8 15:12 | excuse | experience 50:12 | 25:20 38:21 |
| emptying 86:5 | essentially 58:9 | 6:11 48:12 | 86:15 129:22 | 50:6 |
| enacted | 82:16 126:18,20 | 7:3 112: | 130:4 | far 31:17,20 32:1 |
| enclosure 88:6 | establish 133:19 | 115:1 | expert 7:23 34:7 | 41:7 70:12 |
| energy 125:14 | 133:24 134:8 | excused | 39:24 46: | 71:24 |
| enforcement | established 56:4 | executive 37:12 | 68:22 83:10 | ugel@gmail.c... |
| 129:3 134:3,10 | 72:2 | 102:7 | 110:12 113:16 | 3:7 |
| engineer 36:5 | estimate 80:20 | exhibit 6:3,6 8:2,5 | 121:14,14 | feature 37:24 |
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| 102:17 103:6 | 130:11 131:20 | follow 35:15 | 133:24 | goes 24:6 46:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| features 38:2 | 132:11,15,17,24 | following 86:15 | Gen 16:17 35:14 | 91:4 104:12,24 |
| 102:18 | 133:20 134:1 | follows 8:18 124:3 | 92:13,20 93:4,13 | going 25:14 36:22 |
| fed 122:24 | financially 132:8 | foregoing 138:9 | 94:7 100:8 | 41:17 48:14 |
| federal 11:1 12:22 | 132:20 | Forensic 125:20 | 110:15 111:13 | 71:11 74:8 75:4 |
| 85:9,11,14 90:24 | find 87:17 98:17 | form 81:5 | 112:5 | 78:10 96:21 |
| 91:4,9,12 106:14 | 105:21 | former 93:6,19 | Gen's 11:4 46:13 | 97:8 107:20 |
| 108:5,21 128:22 | finding 64:11 65:5 | fortunate 35:4 | 84:4 101:20 | 111:1 116:15 |
| 130:17 | 66:13 67:12 | found 23:21 35:12 | general 80:21 | 134:18 136:20 |
| fee 133:16 | 79:13 110:18 | 53:5 58:5 | 135:4 | Golly 80:23 |
| feel $81: 2$ 111:3,5 | fine 55:4 74:14 | foundation | generally $22: 8$ | gonna 7:1 13:15 |
| feet 11:20 12:2 | 133:16 134:7 | 114:14 122:23 | 99:16,24 | 27:20 28:3,13 |
| 13:9,11 16:2 | finish 123:11 | four 10:1,21 $22: 5$ | Generating 17:19 | 38:24 39:9,17,22 |
| 18:20 20:5,21,23 | finished 77:14 | 77:18,21 | Generation 1:9 | 43:1 45:5,12 |
| 20:24 21:3,13,15 | finishing 125:4 | fourth 47:21 49:5 | 7:19 14:5 17:11 | 46:23 47:22 |
| 21:18,23 49:3 | firm 124:16 | 97:20 | 17:13 18:17,23 | 50:24 54:11 |
| 66:1 96:21 97:2 | first 8:17 14:22 | FRANZETTI | 22:672:21 | 55:21 71:2 |
| 97:8,10,13,15,17 | 16:4,24 24:4 | 4:15 | 73:21 85:19 | 82:12 89:5 |
| 97:23 98:10 | 33:2 34:15 37:3 | fresh 50:21 51:15 | 95:15 115:1 | 94:10 108:7 |
| 101:16,16 | 37:13 44:20 | Friday 23:21 | Generation's 16:7 | 111:21 115:24 |
| 106:10,19 108:4 | 45:17 69:18,18 | frog 14:15 | geology 37:20 | 120:16 |
| 108:10,16 109:5 | 71:12,12 82:5 | front 33:4 48:20 | 83:21 102:12 | good 7:2,9 8:21,22 |
| 109:17,17 | 83:14 88:1,3 | 69:16 120:15 | 103:4 | 54:15 55:3,5 |
| 116:13,14,21,23 | 96:19 98:21 | 134:18 | getting 110:19,21 | 83:20 |
| 117:7 | 99:2 100:20 | FS 20:3 113:24 | 133:20 | gotten 74:23 |
| fide 86:20 | 102:6 123:5 | FSFS 20:22 | Gibson 2:4 15:12 | 76:10 135:17 |
| field 113:24 115:1 | 124:2,8 130:24 | full 15:20 80:23 | give 19:9 30:13 | government |
| 115:6 116:4 | 134:8 135:9 | 89:9 90:3 96:2 | 80:20 83:11 | 125:13,15 |
| 131:1 | 136:1 138:5 | further 10:6,14 | 95:7 116:7 | 130:21 |
| figure $27: 16,24$ | five 75:16 92:1,5 | 38:6 41:5 56:15 | given 36:8,10 46:2 | grab 42:13 43:12 |
| 28:5 40:21 41:7 | flash 93:6 | 97:21 103:11 | 81:12 96:5 | 44:2 |
| 70:14,16,18 | flip 83:18 96:11 | 111:15 120:22 | 105:10 122:18 | gradient 89:17 |
| 73:18,19,23 | 105:23 | future 38:1 94:2 | 138:11 | 90:13 |
| 74:16 101:1 | flow 83:24 100:3 | 102:17 | gives 109:9 | graduate 125:4 |
| figures 27:16 | fluctuates 20:20 | G | glad 117:10 | 126:14 133:10 |
| 99:11,15 | fluctuations 13:20 | G | go 7:2 10:3 18:10 | graduated 125:11 |
| filed 25:4 123:7 | 19:5 107:1 | G 24:22 | 20:17 25:14 | grassy 113:24 |
| 123:15 | fly 103:22,22 | gained 129:14 | 27:6 33:7 39:9 | 115:6 |
| filing 24:12 | focus 25:6 28:13 | Gale 4:13 7:19 | 39:20 47:17 | gravel 76:9,11,22 |
| fill 20:3 86:2 | 39:17 | 19:11 122:14 | 49:5 51:7 54:3 | 77:4,12 103:10 |
| 93:22 96:17 | focused 11:8 | 123:11,12,21 | 54:17 55:22 | 103:24 104:1 |
| 97:12,20 113:24 | FOIA 34:16 35:2 | 134:20 135:19 | 57:2 67:2 71:2 | gravity 133:2 |
| 114:15,24 | 35:3,4 | 136 | 80:18 106:15 | grayish 70:5 |
| final 46:11 | folders 83:17 | gam | 112:21 | great 46:1 |
| financial 127:22 | folks 76:22 83:4 | garage 133:19,21 | goal 132:19 | Greg 3:11 7:15 |
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| greg.wannier@... | 61:15 63:4 69:6 | 14:14,18 15:9 | helped 131:7 | identifiable 86:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:18 | 69:9 70:22 71:5 | 18:8 19:23 21:9 | hereto 25:9 | identification |
| ground 93:10 | 71:8 74:19 75:6 | 23:11,19 25:18 | hesitated 57:3 | 16:21,24 23:3,6 |
| 94:5 95:21 | 77:16,20,24 78:7 | 25:23 26:8 30:1 | high 12:9 13:21 | 32:10,13 78:14 |
| 96:22 97:8,13,16 | 78:10,15,23 79:4 | 30:4,8,12 33:6 | 107:8 | 78:20 116:18 |
| 98:14 117:5 | 79:8 81:6 82:14 | 33:11 34:2,10 | highest 109: | 134:16 |
| grounding 37:23 | 94:12,19 95:6,11 | 35:9,19 36:8,11 | highly 35:7 | identifications |
| 102:16 | 98:20 100:16 | 36:14,18,21 38:5 | 104:20 | 47:14 |
| groundwater | 101:23 104:4,14 | 38:12 45:5,11 | hired 129:10 | identified 40:7 |
| 11:21 13:21 | 105:3,9 107:22 | 46:7,10 47:2 | historic 85:12,15 | 48:9 51:2 55:15 |
| 14:12 19:6 20:6 | 107:24 108:13 | 50:16 54:2,6,11 | 86:1 | 65:22 78:2,5 |
| 20:19,21 21:4,15 | 108:24 110:7,24 | 54:15 55:2,5,10 | historical 93:22 | 112:16,16 |
| 83:22,24 84:7,11 | 111:6,16,20 | 61:3,8,15 63:4 | 94:4 109:7 | 113:12,20 |
| 85:3 88:5,11,13 | 112:3,20,23 | 69:6,9 70:22 | 114:15 125:10 | identify 47:22 |
| 88:23,24 89:2,6 | 116:6 118:24 | 71:5,8,13 74:19 | history $83: 10$ | 75:23,24 76:4 |
| 89:15 90:7,21,22 | 119:6,7,20 120:1 | 75:5,6 77:16,20 | hold 25:15 105:19 | IEPA 11:15 |
| 92:10,15,22 93:5 | 120:5,19,23 | 77:24 78:7,10,15 | Honor 46:8 122:9 | ignored 121:24 |
| 93:10,12,15 94:8 | 121:4,18,21 | 78:23 79:4,8 | 134:12 | illegal 134:5 |
| 95:16 97:14,18 | 122:5 123:4,11 | 81:6 82:14 | hoped 90:8 | illegally 133:15 |
| 106:12 107:1,3 | 123:16,22 127:2 | 94:12,19,20 95:6 | hour 1:19 | Illinois 1:1,17,18 |
| 107:13 109:19 | 129:24 134:13 | 95:11 98:20 | hour-and-15-mi... | 2:3 3:5 4:17 7:4 |
| 109:23 116:13 | 135:5,13,21 | 100:15,16 | 111:22 | 9:8 11:5,11,15 |
| 116:19 117:4,6 | 136:5,11,22 | 101:23 104:2,4 | hours 33:16,18 | 12:13,19,20 |
| 117:11 | hand 12:11 39:22 | 104:14,19 105:3 | house 126:10,10 | 24:16 25:8 |
| group 37:16 70:14 | handed 12:12 | 105:9 107:22,24 | housekeeping 8:1 | 26:15 27:9 |
| groups 7:7 | 32:12 | 108:13,24 110:7 | 121:5 122:15 | 28:10 34:19,20 |
| guess 115:3 136:6 | handing 16:23 | 110:24 111:6,14 | hundred 101:16 | 39:4,6 73:13,16 |
| guidance 130:19 | handle 81:19 | 111:16,20 112:3 | hundreds 131:2 | 75:3 87:10 |
|  | happen 110:20,20 | 112:16,20,23 | 131:15 | 91:10,15,17 |
| H | 110:21 | 115:24 116:6 | hydraulic 13:18 | 118:3,9 121:17 |
| H | happened 63:9 | 118:24 119:7,20 | 14:3 19:3 89:17 | 138:1 |
| half 129:8 | 74:12 | 120:1,5,7,19,23 | 90:13 106:17,23 | image 101:3 |
| Halloran 1:15 7:1 | happens 109 | 121:4,8,17,18,21 | 108:11 110:2,11 | images 101:9 |
| 7:3,17,21 8:4,8 | happy 54:4 | 121:24 122:5,14 | 110:13 | imagine 80:23 |
| 8:13 14:14,18 | hard 82:1 | 123:4,11,13,16 | hydraulically | immediately |
| 15:9 18:8 19:23 | Harvard 125:5,15 | 123:22 127:2 | 107:5 | 38:19 126:14 |
| 21:9 23:19 | 133:10 | 129:24 134:13 | hydrogeologic | impact 126:24 |
| 25:23 26:8 30:1 | heading 87:22 | 134:20 135:5,13 | 10:20 | 127:1 129:15 |
| 30:4,8,12 34:2 | 91:24 96:16 | 135:21,23 136:2 | hydrogeology | implying 21:14 |
| 34:10 35:9,19 | 97:21 106:2 | 136:5,11,22 | 83:21 | importance |
| 36:8,11,14,18,21 | hear 30:4 112:22 | 138:9,12 |  | 104:23 |
| 38:12 45:11 | heard 45:11 | hearings 128:13 | $\frac{\text { I }}{\text { ID } 48: 8,11}$ | important 88:21 |
| 46:7,10 47:2 | 108:13 121:9 | heavily 130:7 | ID 48:8,11 65: | impossible 33:22 |
| 50:16 54:6,11,15 | hearing 1:14 7:1,3 | held 1:13 | idea 107:6 132:10 | 86:3 |
| 55:2,5,10 61:3,8 | 7:17,21 8:4,8,13 | help 18:9 | ideally $134: 7$ | impoundment |
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| 13:19 86:21 | 83:16 131:3 | 70:24 | 100:9 101:22 | 54:12 55:2 71:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90:15 | Industrial 124:16 | interval 134:4 | 102:5 104:13 | 74:22 79:4,8 |
| impoundments | 126:16 | intervals 98:5 | 105:8 | 80:1 81:9 83:4 |
| 12:15 13:7,8 | industry 103:19 | introduce 7:8 | Jonathan 5:9 | 88:2 94:1,3 |
| 31:3,18 88:4,7 | infiltration 92:9 | introduced 94:24 | 122:10 124:1,8 | 95:20,22 96:12 |
| 88:22 89:7 90:9 | 92:13,21 93:4,7 | introduction | journal 125:23 | 97:12 99:3,7,16 |
| improve 89:6 90:7 | 93:9,14 94:3,7 | 38:18 | judge 113:8 | 100:1,8 101:10 |
| improvement | 95:15 | investigat | July 36:15 37:7 | 101:19 114:15 |
| 88:12,12 89:3 | inflow 93:9 | 115:22 | 45:19 69:17 | 114:16 115:17 |
| 90:21 | information 34:6 | investigation | jump 90:1 | 115:23 136:19 |
| improvements | 35:1,5,6 82:8,20 | 83:23 103:3 | jumped 44:24 | knowing 90:6 |
| 88:5 | 82:23 94:1 | 116:4 | June 96:4 | 114:7 |
| in-person 130:16 | 95:24 114:7 | investigatio | justified 54:8 | knowledge 123:2 |
| inch 101:15 | 121:15 134:21 | 83:21,24 |  | known 89:10 90:4 |
| inches 101:15 | 134:24 136:21 | involved 80:16 | K | knows 93:19 |
| include 116:18 | informed 34:19 | 82:3 125:18,1 | K 138:3 | KPRG 83:23 |
| included 115:13 | initial 17:1,24 | 126:1 130:7 | K-a-s-k-a-s-k-i-a | KRISTEN 4:13 |
| includes 19:17 | 18:2,6 19:16 | Island 37:14 | 37:15 | Kunkel 83:5 |
| 25:9 48:11 | 46:22 82:20,22 | issue 23:16 110:10 | Kappa 125:12 | 113:19 122:22 |
| 127:17 | initiated 90:5 | 110:11 129:18 | Kaskaskia 37:15 | Kunkel's 113:16 |
| including 13:21 | installed 11:13 | issues 121:5 | 100:5 | 123:3 |
| 126:7,21 130:21 | instance 120:14 | 127:24 128:3,4 | $\begin{gathered} \mid \text { keep 10:3 13:16 } \\ \text { 14:15 70:24 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| inconsistent 91:12 | intake 79:24 |  | 14:15 70:24 |  |
| 91:17 | INTEGRITY 4 | $\frac{\mathrm{J}}{}$ | 123:16 136:12 Krnnedy 125:15 | L-e-a-f 115:13 |
| incorporated | intended 9:12 | J 12:14 124 | Kennedy 125:15 | labeled 28:21 |
| 23:10 51:178:4 | 10:5 | J-o-n-a-t-h-a-n | key 88:9,24 | lake 79:22,23,23 |
| 123:9 124:17 | intent 107:19 | 124:8 | kilogram 56:14,16 | 80:5 112:22 |
| incorrect 21:19 | interbasin 37:5 | January 109:16 | 56:19,22 57:13 | lakes 82:1 |
| increased 89:15 | 38:19 75:12 | Jennifer 2:5 4:12 | 58:16,21 59:19 | land 44:9 72:18 |
| 89:16 90:12 | 100:21 | 7:18 15:12 | 60:7 63:13 | 72:19 73:12,16 |
| independent | interdisci | JIS 74:3,5 | 64:12 65:6,10,14 | 73:20 75:1 |
| 123:1 | 125:9 | job 126:15 | 66:10,15,19 67:9 | landfill 79:19 80:4 |
| indicate 10:1 | interested 34:23 | John 125:15 | 67:13,17 68:12 | 91:20 92:7,1 |
| 20:22 85:5 | 35:10 45:23 | Johnny 35:22 | 68:16 | 109:3 |
| 97:22 | intermitent 12:8 | Joliet 22:19 27:22 | kind 18:9 35:22 | landfills 80:7,7 |
| indications 84:20 | intermittent | 29:17,23 30:10 | 123:4 126:19 | large 32:15,22 |
| indicative 85:3 | 13:17 14:3 19:2 | 30:16 31:21 | 127:4 131:11 | 39:11 71:15 |
| indicator 84:10 | 106:16,22 | 34:24 39:5,23 | 135:5 | 79:18 |
| 84:15 85:1 | 108:11 | 40:21 41:2,13 | knew 33:12 134:1 | larger 32:20 41:6 |
| indicators 84:7,21 | intermitten | 42:3 43:8,23 | know 14:15 15:5,6 | Larkin 40:22 41:1 |
| indifference | 107:6 | 49:2 70:19 | 15:11 21:10 | LaSalle 1:17 4:16 |
| 132:11,16,18 | intermixed 87:5 | 71:22 72:6,12,20 | 23:14,20 25:1,20 | Lately 35:22 |
| 133:20 134:1,8 | internal 81:13 | 73:19 75:17,22 | 27:21 35:19,20 | lateral 13:7 93:9 |
| indifferent 132:20 | interrupt 19:9 | 76:3,17,24 77:7 | 36:18 40:17 | 95:24 |
| individual 81:16 | interrupting | 92:12,15,20 | 45:16 51:21 | laterally 95:23 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 05/22/2023
Special November 2022 Grand Jury
Page 148

| 105:10 116:7,7 | 129:17 | litigation 127:15 | 20:12,13 27:15 | 15:19,21 16:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| laude 125:11 | letters 103:17 | 127:19,23 | 28:24 30:18 | 17:4,6,22 18:16 |
| LAUGHRIDGE | 130:10 | little 20:15 69:12 | 31:11 39:1 41:5 | 25:12 27:5,14,19 |
| 4:13 | level 20:21 53:15 | 69:22 79:16 | 41:6,7 42:7 | 28:8,12 29:2,4 |
| law 1:3 113:8 | 56:9,23 58:17,17 | 88:8,22 89:18 | 47:21 49:6,9 | 31:13 37:10 |
| 121:17 133:7 | 61:21,24 62:16 | 90:20 95:7 | 53:1,9,18 55:1 | 38:4 40:10 |
| layer 87:5,8 | 62:19 63:14 | 101:2,6 109:9 | 55:13,18 56:14 | 50:10 51:9 52:3 |
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| 51:16 53:15 | transcript 45:20 | type 98:6 | USEPA 130:14 | 134:12,17 135:6 |
| 56:23 61:24 | 122:6 123:18 | types 127:15,19 | 132:24 | 135:8,15 |
| 62:16,19 63:14 | 135:1 138:10 | 128:10 130:20 |  | Wannier's 121:6 |
| 64:6,9,13,16 | treasurer 126:9 |  | V | want 32:21 37: |
| 65:7,10,15 66:10 | treatment 135:12 | U |  | 68:23 69:2 |
| 66:11,15,21 | 135:16 | U.S 36:5 37:13 | vague 30:3,7 | 70:24 74:13,15 |
| 67:10,18 84:17 | trials 128:14,22 | 126:10 130:8 | value 23:14 | 78:24 96:3 |
| threw 34:12 | true 112:15 133:9 | UAA $25: 8$ | values 50:22 | 123:12 |
| throat 14:16 | 138:9 | Uh-huh 115 | Van 2:5 15:12 | wanted 38:5 |
| ticket 133:21 | try 27:20 $28: 3$ | unclear 23:14,15 | various 120:12 | 131:3 133:14 |
| 134:11 | 39:17 81:21 | 23:16 45:8 | 130:18 133:1 | wants 32:17 |
| tie 77:19 | try | undergradua | Vermont 4:5 | warning 87:5,8 |
| tight 133:10 | 100:7 105:21 | 125:8 | version 18:1 19:21 | Washington 4:7 |
| time 23:11 32:20 | 114:13 | underneat | 32:19 131:13 | wasn't 34:10 |
| 33:19 34:11,15 | Tuesday 35 | 86:22 | versus 15:24 | waste 12:14 26:17 |
| 35:5 45:17 55:3 | turn 13:1 24:4,8 | understand 36:20 | 112:11 113:5 | 79:19 96:1 |
| 55:5 89:15 | 25:3 27:24 | 74:12 90:15 | 132:21 133:21 | 109:3,11 |
| 124:23 126:16 | 37:11 38:16 | understanding | vice 125:21 | water 12:9 13:22 |
| 133:13 134:11 | 43:1 44:12 47:9 | 92:5 98:13 | vicinity 80:1 | 16:1 21:19 |
| times 76:9 81:14 | 48:18 58:24 | 102:21 108:4, | 101:20 104:10 | 24:13 26:15,17 |
| 128:15,17,20,23 | 59:5 60:15 | unit 109:5,6 | 117:3 | 50:21 51:15 |
| 129:1,6 | 63:21 66:5 | United 36:5 | viewer 74:24 | 72:24 73:5 74:9 |
| title 12:13 17:9 | 67:20,24 69:5 | University 125:5 | viewpoint 132:5 | 79:24 80:2,5,9 |
| 33:3 37:3 | 87:20 91:19,23 | 125:16 | violations 23:16 | 80:12 84:18 |
| 105:24 | 96:3,8 99:11 | unrelated 119:6,7 | 132:9 | 9:9 97:10 |
| titled 17:17 26:15 | 100:12 102:6 | 127:19 128:11 | violator 132 | 107:8 109:17 |
| 27:17 43:12 | turning 33:2 48:7 | update 34:6 | voice 14:15 | watershed 79:21 |
| today 15:14 33:13 | 52:17 54:17 | upgraded 114:18 | volume 86:3 | Waterway 24:15 |
| 36:15 69:13,22 | 57:20,24 62:3,6 | upper 13:10 18:18 | 114:20 | 27:10 28:10 |
| 96:24 | 63:17 64:20,23 | 27:9 28:10 | voluminous 45:7 | 39:4 |
| Today's 7:6 | 65:21 66:23 | 106:12 107:18 | voluntary 11:4 | Waukegan 20:3 |
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| 20:20 93:3,6 | went 113:4 | written 25:7 | $0.30666: 9$ | 103 43:17 62:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94:16 95:3 | west 20:4 42:3 | 85:12,15 | 0.624 50:2 52:22 | 121:12 122:16 |
| 96:13 104:10 | 72:3 114:3 | wrong 9:12 | 0.99 53:3 56:9 | 104 43:17 63:12 |
| 113:24 114:24 | 117:3 | X | 62:17 64:6 65:7 | 105 43:12,17,18 |
| 116:4 | wet 96:1 97:23 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 66:10 | 43:19 |
| way $31: 1794: 10$ | 98:8,10 119:13 | X 5:1 6:1 | 00 62:21 63:1 | 106 43:13,19 |
| 94:13,14,18 | 119:14 | Y | 065928 87:20 | 44:21 47:9,15,19 |
| 110:4,16 112:21 | whichever 31:16 |  | 078 42:22 | 55:15,19 57:21 |
| ways 110:9 | white 28:18,21 | yeah 14:17 15:2,3 <br> 33.19 30.12 | 08 28:22,22 29:13 | 59:3 60:15 |
| we'll 48:18 | Wie 2:5 15:12 | 23:19 30:12 | 08-1 29:7 | 63:18 65:18 |
| we're 7:1 23:5 | Wilmette 3:5 | 19 41:16 | 08-2 29:7 | 107 43:13 48:11 |
| 25:14 26:13 | witness 5:2 8:17 | 59:9 63:4 72:22 <br> 72.2396 .7 | 08-27 29:20 99:20 | 48:12 65:23 |
| 28:3 38:24 39:2 | 14:17 18:11 | 72:23 96:7 | 08-28 29:21 | 66:14,18 |
| 39:9,17 45:10 | 21:13 25:19,2 |  | 08-2931:11 99:22 | 107800 24:6 |
| 46:17 47:22 | 30:15 33:22 | 107:24 110:24 | 99:23 | 107806 24:8 |
| 55:7,10,11,21 | 34:5,6,7,8 35:17 | 135:5 136:5, | 08-9 24:18 | 107807 25:5 |
| 58:18 59:18 | 38:6 50:15 | year 123:15 | 080 99:21 | 107820 25:17 |
| 71:5 74:17 75:6 | 61:17 74:22 | 126:12 133:11 | 084-004445 1:24 | 26:12 |
| 77:16,20,24 79:3 | 81:8 82:16 | years 23:15 81:4 82:6 129:7 | 1 | 107855 27:7 51:5 |
| 94:17 100:16 | 94:24 101:22 |  | $\frac{1}{118.13} 25.9$ 26:12 | 107857 27:15 |
| 104:2,4 105:20 | 108:8 109:2 | yellow 40:7 42:16 | $118: 13$ 25:9 26:12 | 107866 51:8 |
| 107:20 110:19 | 113:1 120:18,21 | 42:18 | 27:16,24 28:5 | 107871 99:12,13 |
| 111:16,21 112:4 | 121:1,7 122:10 | yep 115:7,7,7 | 29:7,13,13 37:12 | 107872 28:1,4 |
| 114:4 118:24 | 122:12 124:2 | yesterday 7:5,22 | 40:2,21 41:7 | 107875 52:2 |
| 119:8 120:24 | 127:5,13 128:13 | 8:2,23 9:10 | 42:7,17,17 44:21 | 107876 52:2 |
| 136:22 | 128:21 136:17 | 13.1418 .9 20.2 | 44:22 48:4 | 107917 24:7 |
| we've 12:12 17:7 | witness's 21:7 | 13:14 18:9 20:2 | 55:15 66:4 | 108 43:13 48:12 |
| 55:15 56:4 | 114:10 | 18 | 70:14,16,18 | 48:12 65:24 |
| 65:22 69:15 | witnesses 46:17 | 84:6 85:7,17 | 73:19 74:16 | 109 43:13 48:11 |
| 72:2 78:9 86:12 | wording 9:11 | $84: 6$ 85:7,17 $86.490 \cdot 23$ | 101:1 | 48:12 65:23 |
| 109:7 121:9 | words 72:9 73:7 | 86:4 90:23 | 1.31 49:16 53:12 | 109813 17:12 |
| Weaver 46:18,24 | work 81:8 126:21 |  | 53:22 | 109814 17:14 |
| 47:3 54:10 | 126:22 127:11 | Z | 1.32 56:1 58:6 | 118:3 |
| website 16:8 18:2 | 130:13,21 | t-e 112:11 | $1.5213: 9$ | 11 8:5 73:9,12 |
| 84:4 | worked 83:4 |  | 1:20 111:23 | 110 42:21 43:13 |
| Webster 3:14 | 124:15 129:2,18 | $113.5$ | 10 4:16 37:8 55:6 | 48:12 65:23 |
| week 35:3 81:9 | 131:2 | 112.11113 .5 | 97:23 98:10 | 1100 4:6 |
| weeks 35:2 | wo | 0 | 10-year 107:9 | 1101 16:5 39:24 |
| weighted 132:12 | world 132: | 0.100 54:21 | 109:15 | 40:21 70:16 |
| 134:9 | worried 111:1 | $0.13150: 1$ | 100 44:22 47:15 | 73:19 |
| welcome 23:20 | worship 126:10 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 2 ~ 5 6 : 8 , 8 ~}$ | 48:5,11 49:7 | 1103 87:17 |
| wells 11:13,16 | wouldn't 45:17 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 1 ~ 6 2 : 1 3 ~}$ | 52:19 54:18 | $11068: 2,7,9,11$ |
| 20:22 80:1,12 | 73:22 93:11 | 0.210 64:5 | 66:4,5,23 67:2 | 79:13 80:14 |
| 81:10 107:4 | wrap 46:20 | 0.216 65:6 | 67:20 68:1 | 1107 8:2,6,7,9,11 |
| 114:17 | writing 82:8 | 0.277 67:9 | 1004 3:4 | 1108 8:6 91:19 |
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| 94:20 | 59:7,8 | 2021-104 62:8 | 29:7 43:15,16 | $44.159: 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1109 6:7 16:20,24 | 1900-page 32:17 | 2021-105 63:24 | 47:9 48:7 55:14 | 449 107:9,18 |
| 105:13,23 | 1988 131:13 | 2021-106 43:12 | 62:21 63:1 66:1 | 452 107:10 |
| 117:12 118:7 | 1992 125:4 129:20 | 65:1 | 97:10,17 106:10 | 46 64:23 |
| 111 57:12 60:3 | 130:7 131:1 | 2021-107 66:6 | 109:17 | 47 61:10 65:18 |
| 1110 6:8 23:2,6 |  | 2021-108 67:4 | 3.00 62:22 63:1 | 48 33:17,18 |
| 51:2,4 52:1 78:2 | $\frac{2}{225: 337: 438: 16}$ | 2021-109 48:23 | 3.04 58:16 |  |
| 78:6,14,19 98:18 | $225: 3$ 37:4 38:16 | 49:7 53:6 55:22 | $3.251: 10$ | 5 |
| 98:22 99:2 | 38:16,17 39:6 | 2021-110 68:4 | 3.51 58:20 | $511: 2012: 213: 9$ |
| 100:12 | 43:2,7,11 45:19 | 2021-111 42:22 | $30124: 17$ | 13:11 18:20 |
| 1111 6:9 32:9,13 | 47:5 48:19,19 | 2021-78 42:22 | $30224: 17$ | 36:15 37:7 44:5 |
| 33:3 38:17 40:3 | 69:17 70:23 | 2021-89 70:9 | 304 24:17 | 45:19 55:18 |
| 40:12 41:18 | 79:5 98:20 | 75:19,21 | 31 29:13,13 37:8 | 63:13 66:1 68:1 |
| 43:2 48:20 | 101:15 103:8,15 | 2022 33:12 36:15 | 44:17 | 69:17,21 97:15 |
| 52:18 54:18 | 2,000-page 33:21 | 37:7,8,8 44:18 | 312 3:6 | 106:19 108:4,10 |
| 55:15 59:6 | 2.27 68:15 | 45:19 48:2 66:4 | 32 6:9 62:6 | 108:16 114:17 |
| 60:16 63:23 | 2.98 68:11 | 69:17 115:1 | 33 63:18 | 116:13,14,21,23 |
| 69:16 75:10 | 20 54:18 60:11 | 2023 1:19 7:6 | 35 12:13 24:16 | 117:7 |
| 98:18 99:10 | 96:4 97:23 | $2136: 15$ | 49:3 | 5-foot 106:14 |
| 100:13 104:3 | 98:10 | 21.3 61:22 | 35.8 53:24 57:17 | 107:6,11 109:2 |
| 112 5:6 | 20005 4:7 | 2101 3:14 | 58:22 60:8 62:1 | 109:23 |
| 12 57:24 66:5 | 2006 125:1 | 22 20:5 21:3,18 | 63:14 64:13 | 5.29 67:13 |
| 120 5:7 | 2008 22:23 24:13 | 96:21 97:8,13 | 65:15 66:21 | 5.48 66:14 |
| 1201 6:4 134:15 | 26:23 27:13 | 23 6:8 48:2 66:4 | 67:18 68:16 | 5.86 65:9 |
| 134:19 | 38:8 51:1 52:6 | 23.0 53:23 | 39 63:21 | 579 20:20 |
| 124 5:10 | 2011 10:20,24 | $2433: 16$ |  | 58 41:23 |
| 128 57:17 60:8 | 2017 130:7 | 25 60:15 | 4 | 582 20:21,23 |
| 13 59:3 66:23 | 2019 82:5 96:4 | 26 67:2 | 49:22,24 26:23 | 5th 109:17 |
| 13-15 1:5 7:5 | 202 4:8 | 27 67:20 101:4 | 29:7 44:1,1 52.1761 .10 |  |
| 13.4 67:17 | 2020 74:4 115:2 | 28 15:17 | 52:17 61:10 | $6$ |
| 13.5 56:12,22 | 2021 17:5,20 | 282-9119 3:6 | 91:24 109:17 | 68:7 57:21 91:23 |
| 13.6 64:12 | 42:21 | 286 39:4 | 4-1 17:8 | 122:21 |
| 1300 3:15 | 2021-090 76:3 | 29 17:5 22:19 | 4.78 60:24 61:1,13 | 6.13 64:8 |
| 134 6:4 | 2021-091 76:15 | 27:22 29:23 | 61:21 | 6.52 53:12 |
| 14.8 66:19 | 2021-092 76:23 | 30:10 31:11 | 40 63:21 115:5,21 | $600913: 5$ |
| 140 101:16 | 2021-093 77:6 | 39:23 40:21 | 115:22 116:17 | $60171: 13,23$ |
| 15 23:15 55:6 | 2021-100 44:2 | 41:2,13 43:8 | 116:22 117:10 | 60603 4:17 |
| 80:24 | 47:19 55:24 | 49:2 70:19 | 414955 73:14 | 64 96:12 |
| 154 60:6 | 57:21 | 71:22 72:12,20 | 415 3:17 | $646123: 16$ |
| 16 6:7 7:6 16:5,16 | 2021-101 44:3 | 73:19 75:17 | 43 53:15 58:18 | $65 \text { 96:12 }$ |
| 36:15 | 58:2 | 76:3,17 105:8 | 61:21 | 67 20:13 96:8,11 |
| 160 1:17 | 2021-102 43:17 | 296-8800 4:8 | 43.4 53:16 56:23 | 96:16 119:11 |
| 16th 1:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 59:11 60:12 } \\ \mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 1 0 3 ~ 6 0 : 1 7} \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 20 \text { 64:9 } \\ & \text { 65:11 66:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| 17.9 65:14 1949.7 52.19 59.5 | $61: 22$ | $\frac{\mathbf{3}}{3 \text { 20:24 21:15,23 }}$ | 65:11 66:16 67:13 | 7 8:7 40:2 42:7 |
| $1949: 7$ 52:19 59:5 | 61.22 | 3 20.24 21.15,23 | 67.1468 .13 | 43:2 44:2 48:19 |
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